



ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY SECTOR

MODIFIED WORKING DRAFT STANDARD (MWDS)

This MWDS is a proposed revision of the 2012 Standard (EL-V1M6-2012). It has been prepared by the Radiochemistry Expert Committee. It will be presented to the membership and the public for discussion and input.

Note: The track changes in this document are the changes made since the publication of the Working Draft Standard on 5-30-14. There were numerous changes and additions to this Standard so a clean copy is presented to improve readability. Contact Ilona Taunton (ilona.taunton@nelac-institute.org) if you want a copy where tracking shows proposed changes from the 2012 Standard (EL-V1M6-2012) to the WDS.

VOLUME 1

MANAGEMENT AND TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS FOR LABORATORIES PERFORMING ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS

Module 6: Quality Systems for Radiochemical Testing

TNI Standard

P.O. Box 2439
Weatherford, TX 76086
817-598-1624
www.nelac-institute.org

38
39
40
41

© 2014 The NELAC Institute



This page intentionally left blank.

PREFACE

This Standard is the result of many hours of effort by those volunteers on The NELAC Institute (TNI) Quality Systems Committee and Radiochemistry Expert Committee. The TNI Board of Directors wishes to thank these committee members for their efforts in preparing this Standard as well as those TNI members who offered comments during the voting process.

This Standard supplements Module 2, Quality Systems General Requirements, and may be used by any organization that wishes to implement a program for the accreditation of environmental laboratories.

Standard Revision History

| Action | Date |
|--|-------------------------|
| Working Draft Standard Published | January 14, 2007 |
| Voting Draft Standard Published | June 15, 2007 |
| Draft Interim Standard Published | December 15, 2007 |
| Approved by Quality Systems Committee | December 22, 2007 |
| Modified by Editorial Changes | March 12, 2009 |
| Modified by Tentative Interim Amendments | June 15, 2009 |
| Adopted by NELAP Board | September 8, 2009 |
| Scheduled for Implementation by NELAP | July 1, 2011 |
| Working Draft Standard Published | May 30, 2014 |
| <u>Modified Working Draft Standard Published</u> | <u>November 1, 2014</u> |

This page intentionally left blank.

VOLUME 1, MODULE 6**Quality Systems for Radiochemical Testing****Table of Contents**

| | | |
|------------|--|----------|
| 1.0 | RADIOCHEMICAL TESTING | 1 |
| 1.1 | Introduction | 1 |
| 1.2 | Scope | 1 |
| 1.3 | Terms and Definitions | 1 |
| | 1.3.1 Additional Terms and Definitions | 1 |
| | 1.3.2 Exclusions and Exceptions | 3 |
| 1.4 | Method Selection | 3 |
| 1.5 | Method Validation | 3 |
| | 1.5.1 Validation of Methods | 3 |
| | 1.5.2 Detection Capability | 3 |
| | 1.5.3 Evaluation of Precision and Bias | 4 |
| | 1.5.4 Measurement Uncertainty | 5 |
| | 1.5.5 Evaluation of Selectivity | 6 |
| 1.6 | Demonstration of Capability (DOC) | 6 |
| | 1.6.1 General | 6 |
| | 1.6.2 Initial DOC | 6 |
| | 1.6.3 Ongoing DOC | 7 |
| 1.7 | Technical Requirements | 8 |
| | 1.7.1 Instrument Set-up, Calibration, Performance Checks, and Background Measurements | 8 |
| | 1.7.2 Quality Control for Radiochemistry | 15 |
| | 1.7.3 Data Evaluation and Reporting | 21 |
| | 1.7.4 Sample Handling | 23 |

This page intentionally left blank.

VOLUME 1, MODULE 6

Quality Systems for Radiochemical Testing

1.0 RADIOCHEMICAL TESTING

1.1 Introduction

This Standard contains detailed quality assurance and quality control requirements for environmental testing activities involving radiochemical measurements. The evaluation of laboratories for this discipline is in conjunction with a quality system as specified in the general requirements module. Adherence to quality systems requirements will ensure that all quality control procedures specified in this module are being followed.

1.2 Scope

Essential quality assurance and quality control requirements for laboratories undertaking the examination of environmental samples by radiochemical analysis are defined in this Standard. Radioanalytical determinations involve detection of the radioactive emissions of the analyte (or indicative decay progeny) and tracer isotopes, often following their chemical separation from the sample matrix.

This Standard employs terms, definitions, and requirements from other documents, such as the **Safe Drinking Water Act¹, Clean Water Act², or the Multi-Agency Radiological Laboratory Analytical Protocols (MARLAP) Manual³**. Additional quality assurance and quality control requirements (e.g., [Measurement Quality Objectives \(MQOs\)](#)) as indicated in a method, regulation, or contract, or as established in the laboratory's quality management plan (if there are no established mandatory criteria), shall also be applicable and met by laboratories.

1.3 Terms and Definitions

The relevant definitions from TNI, Volume 1, Module 2, Section 3.0 apply. Definitions related to this document, which are used differently or do not exist in the above references are defined below.

1.3.1 Additional Terms and Definitions

Activity, Absolute: Rate of nuclear decay occurring in a body of material, equal to the number of nuclear disintegrations per unit time.

Note: Activity (absolute) may be expressed in becquerels (Bq), curies (Ci), or disintegrations per minute (dpm), ~~or~~ multiples or submultiples of these units.

Activity, Areic: Quotient of the activity of a body of material and its associated area.

Activity, Massic: Quotient of the activity of a body of material and its mass; also called specific activity.

¹ 42 U.S.C. §300f et seq. (1974), see <http://www2.epa.gov/laws-regulations/summary-safe-drinking-water-act>.

² 33 U.S.C. §1251 et seq. (1972), see <http://www2.epa.gov/laws-regulations/summary-clean-water-act>.

³ Multi-Agency Radiological Laboratory Analytical Protocols Manual (MARLAP). 2004. EPA 402-B-04-001A, July. Available at: www.epa.gov/radiation/marlap.

47 **Activity, Volumic:** Quotient of the activity of a body of material and its volume; also called activity
48 concentration.

49 *Note:* In this module, unless otherwise stated, references to activity shall include absolute activity,
50 areic activity, massic activity, and volumic activity.

51 **Activity Reference Time:** The date (and time, as appropriate to the half-life of the radionuclide) to
52 which a reported activity result is calculated.

53
54 *Note:* The sample collection date is most frequently used as the activity reference time for
55 environmental measurements but different programs may specify other points in time for correction
56 of results for decay and ingrowth.

57
58 **Batch, Preparation:** A preparation batch is composed of one (1) to twenty (20) environmental
59 samples of the same quality systems matrix that are prepared and/or analyzed together with the
60 same process and personnel, using the same lot(s) of reagents, with a maximum time between the
61 start of processing of the first and last sample in the batch to be twenty-four (24) hours.

62
63 *NOTE*Note: Preparation batches are only applicable for tests that require physical or chemical
64 preparation that affects the outcome of the test.

65
66 **Batch, Radiation Measurements:** A Radiation Measurements Batch (RMB) is composed of one
67 (1) to twenty (20) environmental samples that are counted directly without preliminary physical or
68 chemical processing that affects the outcome of the test (e.g., non-destructive gamma
69 spectrometry, alpha/beta counting of air filters, or swipes on gas proportional detectors). The
70 samples in an RMB share similar physical and chemical parameters, and analytical configurations
71 (e.g., analytes, geometry, calibration, and background corrections) and the maximum time between
72 the start of processing of the first and last samples in an RMB is fourteen (14) days.

73
74 ~~**Batch, Analytical:** For Module 6, Radiochemical Testing, the analytical batch is reserved for~~
75 ~~processes that do not involve physical or chemical processing that affects the outcome of~~
76 ~~the test (e.g., non-destructive gamma spectrometry, or alpha/beta counting of air filters or~~
77 ~~swipes on gas proportional detectors). The analytical batch is composed of one (1) to twenty~~
78 ~~(20) environmental samples that share similar characteristics and analytical configurations~~
79 ~~(e.g., analytes, geometry, calibration, and background corrections) and/or analyzed together~~
80 ~~using the same process. The maximum time between the start of processing of the first and~~
81 ~~last sample in the batch is fourteen (14) days.~~

82
83 **Critical Value:** Value to which a measurement result is compared to make a detection decision
84 (also known as critical level or decision level).

85
86 *Note:* The critical value is designed to give a specified low probability α of false detection in an
87 analyte-free sample, which implies that a result that exceeds the critical value, gives high
88 confidence ($1 - \alpha$) that the radionuclide is actually present in the material analyzed. For radiometric
89 methods α is often set at 0.05.

90
91 **Detection Limit (DL) for Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) Compliance:** Laboratories that
92 analyze drinking-water samples for SDWA compliance monitoring must use methods that provide
93 sufficient detection capability to meet the detection limit requirements established in 40 CFR 141.
94 The SDWA DL for radioactivity is defined in 40 CFR Part 141.25(c) as the radionuclide
95 concentration, which can be counted with a precision of plus or minus 100% at the 95% confidence
96 level (1.96σ where σ is the standard deviation of the net counting rate of the sample).

97
98 **Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA):** Estimate of the smallest true activity that ensures a
99 specified high confidence, $1 - \beta$, of detection above the critical value, and a low probability β of
100 false negatives below the critical value. For radiometric methods β is often set at 0.05.

Note 1: The MDA is a measure of the detection capability of a measurement process, and as such, it is an *a priori* concept. It may be used in the selection of methods to meet specified MQOs. Laboratories may also calculate a “sample-specific” MDA, which indicates how well the measurement process is performing under varying real-world measurement conditions, when sample-specific characteristics (e.g., interferences) may affect the detection capability. However, the MDA must never be used instead of the critical value as a detection threshold.

Note 2: For the purpose of this Standard, the terms MDA and minimum detectable concentration (MDC) are equivalent.

Test Source: A radioactive source that is tested, such as a sample, calibration standard, or performance check source. A test source may also be free of radioactivity, such as a test source counted to determine the subtraction background, or a short-term background check.

Measurement Quality Objective (MQO): The analytical data requirements of the data quality objectives are project- or program-specific and can be quantitative or qualitative. Measurement quality objectives are measurement performance criteria or objectives of the analytical process. Examples of quantitative MQOs include statements of required analyte detectability and the uncertainty of the analytical protocol at a specified radionuclide activity, such as the action level. Examples of qualitative MQOs include statements of the required specificity of the analytical protocol, e.g., the ability to analyze for the radionuclide of interest given the presence of interferences.

Measurement Uncertainty: Parameter associated with the result of a measurement that characterizes the dispersion of the values that could reasonably be attributed to the measurand (GUM, JCGM 100:2008).

Standard Uncertainty: An estimate of the measurement uncertainty expressed as a standard deviation (c.f., *Expanded Uncertainty*).

Expanded Uncertainty: The product of the standard uncertainty and a coverage factor, k , which is chosen to produce an interval about the result that has a high probability of containing the value of the measurand. (c.f. *Standard Uncertainty*).

Note: Radiochemical results are generally reported in association with the total uncertainty or the counting uncertainty. Either of these estimates of uncertainty can be reported as the standard uncertainty (one-sigma) or an expanded uncertainty (k -sigma, where $k > 1$).

Counting Uncertainty: The component of measurement uncertainty attributable to the random nature of radioactive decay and radiation counting (often estimated as the square root of observed counts) (after MARLAP). Older references sometimes refer to this parameter as *Counting Error* or *Count Error*. (c.f., *Total Uncertainty*).

Total Uncertainty: An estimate of the measurement uncertainty that accounts for contributions from all significant sources of uncertainty associated with the analytical preparation and measurement of a sample. Such estimates are also commonly referred to as *Combined Standard Uncertainty* or *Total Propagated Uncertainty*, and in some older references as the *Total Propagated Error*, among other similar terms. (c.f., *Counting Uncertainty*).

1.3.2 Exclusions and Exceptions

The elements of this module apply to techniques used for the purpose of measuring or monitoring radioactivity, or techniques used to demonstrate compliance with regulations pertaining to radioactivity. The laboratory ~~may choose to~~ shall comply with corresponding sections of Module 4 in cases where technique-specific Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) is not defined by Module 6 (e.g. Mass Spectrometry [ICP-MS, TIMS] or Kinetic Phosphorimetry), or by the respective

reference method (e.g., calibrations, calibration verifications, determinations of detection statistics, or method-specific quality controls). The laboratory must identify in their quality management plan how and when they are complying with the requirements and elements of Module 4 and Module 6, as applicable.

1.4 Method Selection

Refer to Volume 1, Module 2, Sections 5.4.2, 5.4.3, and 5.4.4.

1.5 Method Validation

1.5.1 Validation of Methods

- a) Prior to their acceptance and institution, methods for which data will be reported shall be validated across the range of physical and chemical parameters (e.g., density, test source composition, and analytical configurations), and activities that will be encountered in samples. Where applicable, the activity range shall include zero activity.
- b) The laboratory shall validate the method in each quality system matrix for which it is applicable by demonstrating the method's detection capability, precision, ~~and~~ bias, measurement uncertainty, and selectivity using the procedures specified in Sections 1.5.2 through 1.5.5.
- c) The laboratory shall perform validation for each method for which documented data is not available to demonstrate that the above requirements are met. For reference methods, published data, if available, may be used to satisfy these requirements.
- d) For all methods, the validation must comply with Volume 1, Module 2, Sections 5.4.5.1 through 5.4.5.3.
- e) The laboratory shall document the results obtained, the procedure used for the validation, and a statement as to whether the method is ~~fit-~~suitable for the intended use.
- e)f) The laboratory shall analyze for all methods, whenever available, externally-produced quality control samples from a nationally- or internationally-recognized source (i.e., a national metrology institute, accredited TNI proficiency test (PT) -provider, an accredited ~~or~~ ISO 17043 PT provider, or from an ANSI N42.22 or an accredited or ISO/IEC Guide 34 provider, or from an ANSI N42.22 -compliant PT commercial vendor/provider). The laboratory shall evaluate the results of these analyses on an ongoing basis to determine its ability to produce acceptable data.

1.5.2 Detection Capability

- a) The laboratory shall establish the detection capability for each method/matrix combination. Detection capability may refer to the critical value, Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA), or SDWA DL (terms defined in Section 1.3.1).
- b) The laboratory shall document the procedure used to determine the detection capability.
- c) The laboratory shall record the quality system matrix used in the initial method validation and retain all supporting documentation for the initial study in a readily retrievable format for the lifetime of the method.
- d) The procedure a laboratory uses to determine the detection capability of a method must comply with the specific requirements of Volume 1, Module 6, Sections 1.5.2.1 and 1.5.2.2.

- 211 e) Method validation documentation shall include identification of software used for detection
212 capability calculations and the software must conform to the requirements in Volume 1, Module
213 2, Section 5.4.7.2.
214
- 215 1.5.2.1 Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA) (see definition in Volume 1, Module 6, Section 1.3.1)
216
217 The laboratory shall utilize a method that is capable of providing an MDA that is appropriate and
218 relevant for the intended use of the data (see Volume 1, Module 2, Section 4.4). The laboratory
219 shall determine MDAs using the protocol specified in mandated methods. If no protocol is specified,
220 the laboratory shall select a procedure that reflects instrument limitations and the intended
221 application of the method.
222
- 223 a) Unless specified otherwise in the mandated method protocols, the laboratory shall include all
224 sample-processing steps of the analytical method in the determination of detection capability.
225
- 226 b) The laboratory shall initially determine the detection capability of each method for the analytes
227 of interest in each method in a quality system matrix free of target analytes and interferences at
228 levels that would impact the results.
229
- 230 c) The laboratory shall determine the detection capability each time there is a change in the test
231 method, or when there is a change in instrumentation, that affects the analytical detection
232 capability.
233
- 234 1.5.2.2 Required Detection Limit for Drinking Water Compliance (see definition in Section 1.3.1)
235
236 Laboratories performing radiochemical testing of drinking-water samples for Safe Drinking Water
237 Act (SDWA) compliance monitoring shall meet the requirements of 40 CFR 141.25(c). These
238 laboratories shall use only approved methods that provide sufficient detection capability to meet the
239 detection limit requirements established in 40 CFR 141.25(c). The detection capability shall be
240 expressed in terms of the detection limit (DL) as defined in Section 1.3.1 instead of Method
241 Detection Limit (MDL) as defined in 40 CFR Part 136, Appendix B.
242
- 243 1.5.3 Evaluation of Precision and Bias
244
245 The laboratory shall compare results of precision and bias measurements determined during
246 validation with criteria established by method, regulation, or contract, or as established in the
247 laboratory's quality management plan (if there are no established mandatory criteria).
248
- 249 a) The laboratory shall utilize a method that provides precision and bias data for each of the
250 analytes of interest that is appropriate and relevant for the intended use of the data (see
251 Volume 1, Module 2, Section 4.4). Precision and bias shall be characterized across the range
252 of activities that brackets those applicable in samples, including zero activity.
253
- 254 b) The laboratory shall process the validation samples through the entire measurement system for
255 each analyte of interest and shall evaluate precision and bias in each relevant quality system
256 matrix.
257
- 258 c) The laboratory shall determine the precision and bias of a method each time there is a change
259 in the test method that affects the performance of the method, or when a change in
260 instrumentation occurs that affects the precision and bias.
261
- 262 d) Where there are no established criteria, the laboratory shall develop acceptance criteria for
263 precision and bias based on one or more of the following:
264 i) Intended use of the data
265 ii) Applicable regulations

- 266 iii) Guidelines established in publications such as MARLAP, *The Forum on Environmental*
267 *Measurements Validation and Peer Review of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency*
268 *Radiochemical Methods of Analysis*, and/or *The Fitness for Purpose of Analytical Methods,*
269 *A Laboratory Guide to Method Validation and Related Topics*⁴.

271 1.5.4 Measurement Uncertainty

- 272
- 273 a) ~~Each All radiochemical~~ measurement results shall be reported with an estimate of ~~its total~~
274 uncertainty expressed either as an estimated standard deviation (i.e., a standard uncertainty) or
275 a multiple thereof (i.e., an expanded uncertainty).
- 276
- 277 i) ~~Although the reported uncertainty should generally be an estimate of the total uncertainty of~~
278 ~~the measurement, f~~or purposes of compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act, or ~~in~~
279 ~~order~~ to comply with specific requirements established by method, regulation, or contract,
280 or as established in the laboratory's quality management plan (if there are no established
281 mandatory criteria), laboratories may report the counting uncertainty ~~in lieu of the total~~
282 ~~uncertainty~~ as specified in the appropriate method, regulation or contract, and as
283 documented in the laboratory SOP. All other radiochemical measurements shall be
284 reported with an estimate of the total uncertainty of the measured result.
- 285 ii) Total uncertainty shall be documented in the laboratory's procedures or quality
286 management program consistent with BIPM JCGM 100:2008: *Guide to the Expression of*
287 *Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM)*, the recommendations in the Multi-Agency Radiological
288 Laboratory Analytical Protocols Manual Chapter 19 (MARLAP, Volume II, EPA 402-B-04-
289 001B, July 2004), or other equivalent approaches.
- 290
- 291 b) The report shall clearly specify the type of uncertainty reported. The report shall:
- 292
- 293 i) express the uncertainty in the same unit of measurement as the measurement result unless
294 the report clearly states otherwise;
- 295 ii) indicate whether the uncertainty is a total uncertainty or counting uncertainty;
- 296 iii) indicate whether the uncertainty is the standard uncertainty (i.e., "one-sigma") or an
297 expanded uncertainty (e.g., "k-sigma"); and
- 298 iv) for expanded uncertainties, indicate the coverage factor (k) or the level of confidence.
- 299
- 300 c) The results of the precision evaluation in Section 1.5.3 shall be compared to the uncertainty
301 estimates as a check on the validity of the uncertainty evaluation procedures. The
302 experimentally-observed standard deviation at any testing level shall not be statistically greater
303 than the maximum ~~combined~~ standard uncertainty of the measurement results at that level,
304 although it may be somewhat less. If the experimentally-observed standard deviation at each
305 testing level statistically exceeds the ~~combined~~ standard uncertainty, then the uncertainty
306 estimate should be re-evaluated.

308 1.5.5 Evaluation of Selectivity

- 309
- 310 a) The laboratory shall qualitatively evaluate selectivity, if applicable, by addressing the following
311 sample and matrix characteristics:
- 312
- 313 i) the effect of matrix composition on the ability of the method to detect analyte;
- 314 ii) the ability of the method to chemically separate the analyte from the interfering analytes;
- 315 and
- 316 iii) spectral and instrumental interferences.

⁴ EURACHEM Guide. 1998. *The Fitness for Purpose of Analytical Methods, A Laboratory Guide to Method Validation and Related Topics*. Available at: <http://www.eurachem.org/>.

- 317
318
319
320
321
322 **1.6 Demonstration of Capability (DOC)**
323
324 1.6.1 General
325
326 a) An individual who ~~prepares and/or analyzes, performs any activity involved with preparation~~
327 ~~and/or analysis of~~ samples must have constant, close supervision until a satisfactory initial
328 DOC is completed (see Section 1.6.2).
329
330 b) Thereafter, an ongoing DOC (Section 1.6.3) is required.
331
332 c) In cases where an individual has prepared and/or analyzed samples using a method that has
333 been in use by the laboratory for at least one year prior to applying for accreditation, and there
334 have been no significant changes in instrument type or method, the ongoing DOC shall be
335 acceptable as an initial DOC. The laboratory shall have records on file to demonstrate that an
336 initial DOC is not required.
337
338 d) All demonstrations of capability shall be documented. All data applicable to the demonstrations
339 shall be retained and readily available at the laboratory.
340
341 1.6.2 Initial DOC
342
343 An initial DOC shall be made prior to using any method and at any time there is a change in
344 instrument type, personnel or method; or any time that a method has not been performed by the
345 laboratory or analyst in a twelve (12) month period.
346
347 1.6.2.1 The laboratory shall document each initial DOC in a manner such that the following information is
348 readily available for each affected employee:
349
350 a) analyst(s) involved in preparation and/or analysis;
351
352 b) matrix;
353
354 c) analyte(s), class of analyte(s), or measured parameter(s);
355
356 d) identification of method(s) performed;
357
358 e) identification of laboratory-specific SOP used for analysis, including revision number;
359
360 f) date(s) of analysis;
361
362 g) summary of analyses, including information outlined in Section 1.6.2.2.
363
364 1.6.2.2 If the method, regulation or contract does not specify an initial DOC, the following procedure is
365 acceptable. It is the responsibility of the laboratory to document that other approaches to initial
366 DOC are adequate.
367
368 a) ~~The analyte(s) shall be diluted in a volume of clean quality system matrix (a sample in which no~~
369 ~~target analytes or interferences are present at activities that will impact the results of a specific~~
370 ~~method) sufficient to p~~Prepare four (4) aliquots ~~at a laboratory specified activity~~consistent with
371 Section 1.7.2.3. The analyst shall also prepare four (4) blank samples of clean quality system

- 372 matrix in which no target analytes or interferences are present at activities that will impact the
373 results of a specific method.
374
- 375 b) Where gamma-ray spectrometry is used to identify and quantify more than one analyte, the
376 laboratory control sample shall contain gamma-emitting radionuclides that represent the low
377 (e.g., ²⁴¹Am), medium (e.g., ¹³⁷Cs), and high (e.g., ⁶⁰Co) energy range of the analyzed gamma-
378 ray spectra. As indicated by these examples, the nuclides need not exactly bracket the
379 calibrated energy range or the range over which nuclides are identified and quantified.
380
- 381 c) The samples shall be prepared and analyzed according to the method.
382
- 383 d) Using all of the results, calculate the mean recovery of the spiked samples and the blank
384 results in the appropriate reporting units and the standard deviations of the population sample
385 (in the same units) for each parameter of interest. When it is not possible to determine mean
386 and standard deviations, ~~such as for presence/absence and logarithmic values~~, the laboratory
387 shall assess performance against established and documented criteria.
388
- 389 e) Compare the information from (d) above to the corresponding acceptance criteria for precision
390 and accuracy specified by method, regulation, or contract, or as established in the laboratory's
391 quality management plan (if there are no established mandatory criteria). If all parameters meet
392 the acceptance criteria, the analysis of field samples may begin.
393
- 394 f) When one or more of the tested parameters fail at least one of the acceptance criteria, repeat
395 the test for the parameters that exceed acceptance criteria. If test results fall outside
396 acceptance criteria again, this confirms there is a general problem with the method and or
397 measurement system. If this occurs, locate and correct the source of the problem and repeat
398 the test for all parameters of interest.
399
- 400 g) When an analyte not currently found on the laboratory's list of accredited analytes is added to
401 an existing accredited method, an initial DOC shall be performed for that analyte. When
402 analytes are added to gamma-ray spectrometry, this is not required.
403
- 404 1.6.3 Ongoing DOC
405
- 406 1.6.3.1 The laboratory shall have a documented procedure describing ongoing DOC that includes
407 procedures for how the laboratory will identify data associated with ongoing DOCs. The analyst(s)
408 shall demonstrate ongoing capability by routinely meeting the quality control requirements specified
409 by the method, regulation, or contract, or as established this Standard and the laboratory's quality
410 management plan (if there are no established mandatory criteria). If the method has not been
411 performed by the analyst in a twelve (12) month period, an initial DOC (1.6.2) shall be performed. It
412 is the responsibility of the laboratory to document that other approaches to ongoing DOC are
413 adequate.
414
- 415 1.6.3.2 This on-going demonstration may include one of the following:
416
- 417 a) acceptable performance of blank(s) and samples single blind to the analyst;
418
- 419 b) another initial DOC;
420
- 421 c) at least four (4) consecutive spiked samples (e.g., batch laboratory control samples) each with
422 levels of precision and accuracy consistent with those specified in the method scope; and four
423 (4) consecutive blank samples, each with activity consistent method performance specified in
424 the method scope (e.g., generally activity less than critical value). The laboratory shall tabulate
425 or be able to readily retrieve four (4) consecutive passing LCS and four (4) consecutive blank

- 426 samples for each method for each analyst each year. The laboratory shall specify acceptable
427 limits for precision and accuracy prior to analysis.
428
429 d) a documented process of reviewing ongoing QC samples by an analyst or a predefined group
430 of analysts relative to the quality control requirements specified by the method, regulation, or
431 contract, or as established this Standard and the laboratory's quality management plan (if there
432 are no established mandatory criteria). This review should be used to identify patterns for
433 individuals or groups of analysts and identify the need for corrective action or retraining as
434 necessary; or
435
436 e) if a) through d) are not technically feasible, then analysis of real-world samples with results
437 within predefined acceptance criteria (as defined by the laboratory or method) shall be
438 performed.
439

440 1.7 Technical Requirements

441 1.7.1 Instrument Set-up, Calibration, Performance Checks, and Background Measurements⁵

442 This Section addresses requirements for the proper set-up, calibration, calibration verification, and
443 instrument performance checks of radiation measurement systems, as well as the requirements for
444 subtraction background measurements and short-term background checks.
445

446 These requirements ensure that the measurements will be of known and appropriate quality for
447 meeting regulatory and contractual requirements and for supporting decision making. This Section
448 does not specify detailed procedural steps for these operations, but establishes essential elements
449 for selection of the appropriate technique(s). This allows flexibility and permits employment of a
450 wide variety of analytical procedures and statistical approaches.
451

452 At a minimum, the instrument quality control program shall incorporate requirements imposed by
453 the method, regulation, contract, or this Standard. Where imposed regulations are more stringent
454 than this Standard, the imposed regulations take precedence (see Volume I, Module 2, Section
455 5.9.3.c). If it is not apparent which Standard is more stringent, the laboratory shall follow the
456 requirements of the regulation or the method in that order. Where there are no established
457 requirements the laboratory shall incorporate guidelines established in MARLAP or other
458 consensus standard organizations.
459

460 1.7.1.1 Initial Set-up of Instrumentation

- 461
462 a) The laboratory shall maintain the required radiation measurement systems for each method it
463 performs. The laboratory shall set-up radiation measurement systems to produce consistent,
464 comparable results across multiple detectors used for a common method. The laboratory shall
465 establish the configuration and operating parameters for each radiation measurement system
466 used consistent with the method requirements.
467
468 b) The laboratory shall document radiation measurement system configuration and maintainable
469 values for hardware- and software-related operational parameters prior to initial calibration. If a
470 specific method or application requires that system configuration or operational parameters
471 deviate from the manufacturer recommended specifications, the laboratory shall identify the
472 modifications and document the rationale for such changes.
473
474
475

⁵One approach that addresses in detail all elements of this Section is presented by ASTM International Standard Practice D7282, Set-up, Calibration, and Quality Control of Instruments Used for Radioactivity Measurements.

- 476 c) The laboratory shall periodically verify user-maintainable values for operational parameters to
477 ensure their consistency with values recorded at the time of initial calibration to ensure the
478 continued integrity of system configuration. If system configuration or operating parameters
479 have changed, the laboratory shall perform corrective actions to determine and ameliorate any
480 potential impact of the changes.

481 1.7.1.2 Initial Calibration

482 This ~~S~~section specifies the essential elements that define the procedures and documentation for
483 initial calibration of radiation measurement systems.

- 484 a) Radiation measurement systems are subject to calibration prior to initial use and any time the
485 following conditions occur:
486 i) following replacement of a key detector element (e.g., a photomultiplier tube, silicon barrier
487 detector, gas proportional detector chamber, germanium crystal, etc.);
488 ii) after a repair when subsequent performance checks indicate a change in performance;
489 iii) after modification of system parameters that affect instrument response;
490 iv) when instrument performance checks exceed predetermined acceptance criteria (i.e., limit
491 of a statistical or tolerance control chart or other QC parameters) indicating a change in
492 instrument response since the initial calibration;
493 v) when indicated by corrective actions;
494 vi) when calibration is due according to a predetermined frequency.

495 The laboratory shall document the criteria that initiate (re)calibration in its SOPs.

- 500 b) Given that the instrument detection efficiency is linear with respect to count rate at all but the
501 highest activity levels (i.e., where detection system dead time becomes significant), calibration
502 curves with standards of varying activity need not be performed for radiometric techniques.
503 Multiple-point calibration curves correlating other parameters (e.g., mass-efficiency, or channel-
504 energy) may be required for some methods. ~~Several, for examples include:~~
505 i) energy-efficiency calibration of gamma spectrometers;
506 ii) mass-efficiency (mass-attenuation) calibration of gas-flow proportional or x-ray detectors;
507 iii) quench-efficiency calibration of liquid scintillation detectors;
508 iv) mass-crosstalk calibration of gas-flow proportional and quench-crosstalk calibration of
509 liquid scintillation detectors.

- 510 c) The laboratory shall base instrument calibrations on physical measurement of reference
511 standards as defined in Section 1.7.2.6.c). These standards shall have general physical
512 characteristics (i.e., geometry, density, composition, nuclear decay properties, etc.) that match
513 as closely as possible those of the samples to which the calibration will be applied, except as
514 noted in Ssection 1.7.1.2 d).
515 d) In some cases, calibration standard characteristics do not exactly match sample characteristics.
516 The laboratory may use empirical techniques (e.g., gamma transmission) and/or computational
517 techniques (e.g., Monte Carlo or efficiency modeling techniques) to generate corrections that
518 are applied to calibrations performed with reference standards to account for minor differences
519 between the physical characteristics of the calibration standard (i.e., geometry, density,
520 coincidence-summing, etc.) and the samples to which the correction is to be applied, if:
521 i) the laboratory has performed a documented validation of the correction method or model
522 by physical measurement of reference standards as defined in Section 1.7.2.6.c). The
523 validation shall span the entire range of physical characteristics observed in samples to
524 which the correction shall be applied (i.e., geometry, density, etc.); and
525 ii) the applied correction consistently minimizes measurement bias across the range of
526 physical characteristics; and
527
528
529
530

- 531 iii) the laboratory has estimated and validated the uncertainty associated with the correction
532 (see [Section 1.5.4.c and 1.5.4.d](#)) and included it in the uncertainty reported with each
533 associated sample result. |
534
- 535 e) The following items are essential elements of initial instrument calibration:
536
- 537 i) The laboratory shall establish and document in [method SOPs written procedures](#) and in |
538 records the details of the initial instrument calibration. Details shall, at minimum, include:
539
- 540 1. the type of calibrations to be performed;
541 2. the number of calibration points required;
542 3. a description of the calibration standards required;
543 4. the preparation of the calibration standards;
544 5. the counting of the calibration standards;
545 6. the maximum permissible uncertainty for calibration measurements (e.g., a maximum
546 relative combined uncertainty of the calibration parameter or a minimum number of
547 counts collected); and |
548 7. all calculations.
- 549
- 550 ii) The laboratory shall establish criteria, appropriate to the calibration technique, for the |
551 acceptance of an initial instrument calibration in [the method SOPs written procedures](#). |
552 iii) If the initial instrument calibration results are outside established acceptance criteria, the
553 laboratory shall perform corrective actions. The laboratory shall re-analyze any samples
554 processed using this calibration, or, if not possible, report the results with qualifiers.
555 iv) The laboratory shall retain sufficient raw data records to permit reconstruction of the initial
556 instrument calibration.
- 557
- 558 f) The laboratory shall quantitate sample results only from the initial instrument calibrations unless
559 otherwise allowed by regulation, method, or contract.
560

561 1.7.1.3 Calibration Verification

- 562
- 563 a) Prior to use of an initial calibration for analysis of samples, the laboratory shall verify the initial
- 564 instrument calibration with a reference standard as defined in Section 1.7.2.6.c. The laboratory
- 565 shall obtain the standard from a source or a lot independent of the reference standard used in
- 566 the initial calibration, if available. The calibration verification may take two forms:
- 567
- 568 i) performing a second set calibration measurements to be compared to the initial calibration;
- 569 ii) quantifying a set of prepared standards using the initial calibration.
- 570
- 571 b) The laboratory shall specify the maximum permissible uncertainty for calibration verification
- 572 measurements (e.g., the minimum number of counts collected for each measurement) in their
- 573 SOPs.
- 574
- 575 c) The laboratory shall specify calibration verification acceptance criteria in their SOPs (e.g., the
- 576 relative combined uncertainty or the prepared standard recovery). If the criteria for the
- 577 calibration verification are not met, the laboratory shall perform corrective action.
- 578

579 1.7.1.4 Instrument Performance Checks

580

581 Instrument performance checks measure and track the stability of key detector response-related

582 parameters over time. The continuing validity of initial calibrations is established by demonstrating

583 the stability of the detection system from the point of initial calibration to the time of the test source

584 measurement.

585

- 586 a) The following are essential elements of instrument performance checks:
- 587 i) The check source used for instrument performance checks need not be a reference
- 588 standard as defined in Section 1.7.2.6.c.
- 589 ii) The laboratory shall use the same check source for ongoing performance checks as the
- 590 one in the preparation of the tolerance or control chart limits at the point of the initial
- 591 calibration.
- 592 iii) The laboratory shall prepare, handle, seal and/or encapsulate check sources to prevent
- 593 damage, loss of activity and contamination.
- 594 iv) The laboratory shall minimize the uncertainty of the check source count to allow detection
- 595 of small changes in detector response relative to the acceptance criteria. The count
- 596 duration and check source activity should be sufficient to provide adequate counting
- 597 statistics over the life of the source.
- 598 v) Where significant, the radioactive decay in the check source shall be taken into account
- 599 when evaluating count-rate sensitive parameters such as efficiency.
- 600 vi) The laboratory shall monitor the results of instrument performance checks using control or
- 601 tolerance charts to ensure that instrument performance does not change significantly
- 602 relative to the point of the initial calibration. ~~If a performance check result exceeds control~~
- 603 ~~limits, instrument performance may have changed since the initial calibration. The~~
- 604 ~~laboratory should verify that the change is not attributable to normal statistical variability of~~
- 605 ~~the check measurement prior to taking corrective action.~~
- 606 vii) The laboratory procedure shall specify what corrective actions are to be taken when
- 607 performance check acceptance criteria are not met.
- 608

609 Note: If a performance check result exceeds established limits, instrument performance may

610 have changed since the initial calibration. The laboratory should verify that the change is not

611 attributable to normal statistical variability of the check measurement prior to taking corrective

612 action.

613

- 614 b) The laboratory shall establish the minimum frequency for performance checks for specified
- 615 calibration parameters as follows:

- 616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
- i) Gamma-ray spectrometry systems-
Detection efficiency, energy calibration, and peak resolution:
 - 1. Semiconductor detectors: At least twice weekly, but not on consecutive days, for a continuously operating detector; day of use for a non-continuously operating detector.
 - 2. Scintillation detectors (e.g., sodium iodide): Day of use.
 - ii) Alpha-particle spectrometry systems-
Energy calibration: Weekly.
Detection efficiency: Monthly.
 - iii) Gas-proportional and semiconductor alpha/beta detectors-
Alpha and beta efficiency: Day of use.
 - iv) Liquid scintillation detectors-
 - 1. Manufacturer system calibration: At the frequency recommended by the manufacturer.
 - 2. Efficiency with unquenched ^3H and ^{14}C standards: Day of use.
 - v) Solid-state scintillation detectors (e.g., zinc sulfide) used for non-spectrometric measurements-
Efficiency: Day of use.
- c) Exceptions to minimum frequencies for performance checks:
- i) An individual test source may be uninterruptedly measured for a time longer than the required interval between performance checks to allow completion of the count of a test source as long as instrument performance checks performed at the beginning and end of the measurement period meet all applicable acceptance criteria.
 - ii) Test sources may be uninterruptedly measured for a time longer than the required interval between performance checks to allow for completion of a preparation or [analytical-radiation measurements](#) batch measured on an instrument with an automated sample changer (e.g., a liquid scintillation or gas proportional counter), as long as the period between the checks does not exceed [seven \(7\) days](#), and checks are done at the beginning and end of the measurement in question and meet all applicable acceptance criteria.
- d) If the detection system is powered off between performance checks, a new performance check shall be performed prior to the next test source measurement.

650 1.7.1.5 Subtraction Background Measurements

651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658

Subtraction background measurements are performed to assess and correct for contributions due to cosmic radiation, naturally-occurring radioactivity, electronic noise, impurities in the detector, shielding, and source mounting material, or other sources that are not affected by the analytical processes. Contributions from impurities in the reagents, reference standards, or other sources introduced during the analytical processes are assessed with the use of method blanks (Section 1.7.2.2).

659
660
661
662
663

Numerous counting configurations may be used to determine subtraction background, depending on the detector and the method, including: Counting an empty detector; counting an empty container or blank test source in a detector; or counting a container filled with a surrogate matrix material free of measureable levels of radioactivity.

- 664
665
666
667
668
669
670
- a) The subtraction background shall be specific to each detector and the method.
 - b) The subtraction background counting time shall be at least as long as the longest associated sample counting time and shall ensure a representative determination of the background rate.
 - c) The subtraction background measurement shall be accomplished in one of the following ways:

- 671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
- i) Paired measurements in which the subtraction background measurement is counted before or after the test source measurement or batch of test source measurements.
 - ii) Measurements performed at a fixed frequency, in which test sources may be measured between successive background subtraction measurements. In this case, the laboratory shall perform background subtraction measurements at the following minimum frequencies:
 1. Gamma-ray spectrometry systems: Monthly.
 2. Alpha-particle spectrometry systems: Monthly.
 3. Gas-proportional and semiconductor alpha/beta detectors: Quarterly.
 4. Liquid scintillation detectors.
 - Individual quenched background: Once per preparation batch.
 - Quenched background curve: According to frequency specified in laboratory procedures.
 5. Solid-state scintillation detectors (e.g., zinc sulfide) used for non-spectrometric measurements: Day of use.

688
689
690
691
692

Note: The frequency of subtraction background measurements may be increased from the above requirements when there is a low tolerance for lost data due to failure of a subtraction background measurement.

693
694
695
696

~~iv)~~iii) Composite measurements, in which the subtraction background is determined by combining background measurements collected in a manner that results in a representative determination of the background with a combined counting time at least as long as the longest associated test source count time. (See also 1.7.2.2.f)

- 697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
- d) The laboratory shall have written procedures for performing and evaluating subtraction background measurements. These procedures shall:
 - i) indicate the frequency and length of subtraction background measurements.
 - ii) establish control or tolerance charts and acceptance criteria of subtraction background measurements.
 - iii) ensure that the subtraction background measurement counts or count rate of a detector or an analytical region of interest is monitored for significant changes that introduce bias significant enough that could compromise the use of these measurements.
 - e) When the subtraction background has changed since the previous determination such that significant bias is imparted to intervening test source measurements, the laboratory shall initiate a corrective action. If the bias cannot be resolved, the laboratory shall qualify affected results.

713 1.7.1.6 Short-Term Background Checks

714

715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722

Short-term background checks, performed between subtraction background measurements, are quality control measures used to verify the integrity of subtraction background measurements, check for possible detector contamination, electronics noise, as well as monitor each detector for trends and deviations from Poisson statistics. These background checks may be shorter in duration, yet more frequent than the subtraction background measurements, and therefore they may not always effectively identify every discrepancy that could compromise test source measurements (e.g., low-level contamination).

- 723
724
725
- a) The laboratory shall have written procedures for performing and evaluating short-term background checks. These procedures shall:

- 726 i) indicate the frequency and length of checks.
727
728 Note: Short-term background checks are performed after a predetermined number of
729 samples, after a hot sample, or at predetermined frequency. The frequency for the checks
730 should be based on an evaluation of the laboratory instrument system and an acceptable
731 rate for lost data should short-term background check result fails. The frequency of these
732 checks may be decreased if the laboratory is able to document that doing so does not
733 result in an unacceptable rate of lost data. Conversely, the frequency should be increased
734 when there is a high probability of the checks failing or there is a low tolerance for lost data
735 due to failure of short-term background check.
736
737 ii) establish control or tolerance charts and acceptance criteria of short-term background
738 checks.
739
740 iii) ensure that the short-term background counts or count rate of a detector or an analytical
741 region of interest is monitored for significant changes that would indicate background bias
742 significant enough that could compromise test source results.
743
744 b) Exceptions to minimum frequencies for short-term background checks:
745
746 i) An individual test source may be uninterruptedly measured for a time longer than the
747 required interval between short-term background checks to allow completion of the count of
748 a test source as long as short-term background checks performed at the beginning and end
749 of the measurement period meet all applicable acceptance criteria.
750
751 ii) Test sources may be uninterruptedly measured for a time longer than the required interval
752 between short-term background checks to allow for completion of a preparation or
753 ~~analytical batch~~RMB measured on an instrument with an automated sample changer (e.g.,
754 a liquid scintillation or gas proportional counter), as long as the period between the checks
755 does not exceed seven (7) days and the checks are done at the beginning and end of the
756 measurement period and meet all applicable acceptance criteria.
757
758 c) When short-term background has changed since the previous determination such that
759 significant background bias is imparted to intervening test source measurements, the laboratory
760 shall initiate a corrective action. If the bias cannot be resolved, the laboratory shall qualify
761 affected results.
762
763 d) If subtraction background measurements are performed with sufficient frequency for a given
764 method or detector type, such that they ensure background integrity and are capable of
765 identifying detector contamination, the subtraction background measurements may be
766 substituted for short-term background checks, in which case the short-term background checks
767 shall not be required.
768
769 e) For liquid scintillation detectors, the laboratory shall check short term unquenched background
770 each day of use.
771
772 1.7.1.7 Contamination Monitoring
773
774 The laboratory shall have written procedures that address cases where radiation detectors have
775 been contaminated, as determined by the subtraction background measurements, short-term
776 background checks, or method blanks (Section 1.7.2.3). Detectors may not be brought back into
777 service until corrective actions are completed.
778
779 1.7.2 Quality Control for Radiochemistry
780

781 1.7.2.1 General

- 782
- 783 a) The laboratory shall follow a documented quality control program that monitors and assesses
- 784 the performance of the laboratory's analytical systems. At a minimum, the quality control
- 785 program shall incorporate requirements imposed by regulation, methods and this Sstandard.
- 786 Where imposed regulations are more stringent than this Sstandard, the imposed regulations
- 787 take precedence (see Module 2, Section 5.9.3.c). If it is not apparent which Sstandard is more
- 788 stringent, the laboratory shall follow the requirements of the regulation or the mandated
- 789 method. Where there are no established requirements, the laboratory shall incorporate
- 790 guidelines established in MARLAP or other consensus standard organizations into its quality
- 791 management system.
- 792
- 793 b) The laboratory shall process batch and sample-specific quality controls to provide empirical
- 794 evidence that demonstrates that the analytical system is in control. Results for these controls
- 795 may be used to assess the data quality of sample results produced by the analytical system.
- 796
- 797 ~~c) Where sample preparation is performed that involves physical or chemical processing~~
- 798 ~~which affects the outcome of the test, the laboratory shall initiate a preparation batch.~~
- 799
- 800 ~~e) Where sample testing is performed that does not involve physical or chemical~~
- 801 ~~processing which affects the outcome of the test (e.g., non-destructive gamma~~
- 802 ~~spectrometry or alpha/beta counting of air filters or swipes on gas proportional~~
- 803 ~~detectors), an analytical batch may be initiated in lieu of the preparation batch. The~~
- 804 ~~analytical batch, when initiated, shall have the following requirements:~~
- 805
- 806 ~~i) Up to twenty (20) environmental samples may be combined into a single analytical~~
- 807 ~~batch. All samples and QC samples in the analytical batch shall have characteristics~~
- 808 ~~and analytical configurations similar to those used for calibration of the method~~
- 809 ~~(e.g., analytes, geometry, calibration, and background corrections).~~
- 810 ~~ii) Samples may be added to the analytical batch until twenty (20) environmental samples~~
- 811 ~~have been counted or until the time period for the analytical batch is reached, whichever~~
- 812 ~~occurs first. The maximum time for processing an analytical batch (analytical batch period)~~
- 813 ~~shall not extend beyond fourteen (14) days from the start of the first sample count.~~
- 814 ~~†c) The laboratory shall employ either a sample preparation batch or a radiation measurement~~
- 815 ~~batch (RMB, Section 1.3.1) to determine the grouping of samples and assignment of batch QC.~~
- 816
- 817
- 818 ~~i) A sample preparation batch shall be initiated where sample testing is performed that~~
- 819 ~~involves physical or chemical processing which affects the outcome of the test. Samples~~
- 820 ~~and associated QC assigned to a preparation batch shall be prepared together using the~~
- 821 ~~same processes, personnel, and lot(s) of reagents.~~
- 822
- 823 ~~ii) Where testing is performed, that does not involve physical or chemical processing which~~
- 824 ~~affects the outcome of the test (e.g., non-destructive gamma spectrometry, alpha/beta~~
- 825 ~~counting of air filters, or swipes on gas proportional detectors), an RMB may be initiated~~
- 826 ~~in lieu of a preparation batch. The samples and associated QC in the RMB shall share~~
- 827 ~~similar physical and chemical parameters, and analytical configurations (e.g., analytes,~~
- 828 ~~geometry, calibration, and background correction).~~
- 829
- 830 ~~iii) Samples may be added to the RMB for fourteen (14) days from the start of the first~~
- 831 ~~sample count, or until twenty (20) environmental samples have been counted, whichever~~
- 832 ~~occurs first.~~
- 833
- 834 ~~iv) The laboratory may combine samples and associated QC within an RMB that share a~~
- 835 ~~range of physical and chemical parameters, and analytical configurations (e.g., analytes,~~

836 geometry, calibration, density) that conform to the ranges of physical and chemical
837 parameters, and analytical configurations demonstrated by method validation studies
838 (see Section 1.5). Laboratory procedures shall document how method validation is
839 performed, and laboratory records shall document any corrections (e.g., for efficiency,
840 density, cascade summing, and background) applied to physical calibrations.

841
842 j)d) The laboratory's quality control program shall document the ~~minimum required~~ frequency
843 required for quality controls. Minimum quality control requirements are specified below.

844
845 e) The laboratory shall process all batch quality control samples together with, and under the
846 same conditions as, the associated samples, and shall use the same processes and
847 procedures for preparation, analysis, data reduction and reporting of results.

848
849 Note: Although samples in a preparation batch must be prepared together, they need not be
850 analyzed concurrently on a single detection system, rather they may be analyzed on different
851 detection systems as long as the detection systems are calibrated for the technique in question
852 and instrument quality controls indicate that the systems are in control.

853
854 k)f) The laboratory shall not systematically or preferentially use specific detectors, equipment or
855 glassware for the analysis of quality control samples. This should not preclude laboratories
856 from segregating detectors, equipment, or glassware to minimize the risk of cross-
857 contamination of samples or equipment as long as the criteria for segregation applies equally
858 to batch quality control samples and samples.

859
860 l)g) The laboratory shall assess the results of the quality controls against acceptance criteria
861 documented in the quality control program. Where there are no established criteria in
862 regulations, the method, or contract, the laboratory shall develop its acceptance criteria based
863 on guidelines established in MARLAP, other consensus standards or other criteria such as
864 statistical control charts developed by the laboratory.

865
866 m)h) The laboratory shall track and trend the results of batch quality control samples using statistical
867 or tolerance control charts.

868
869 n)i) The laboratory's quality control program shall document acceptance criteria for batch quality
870 control samples, sample-specific quality controls, and for the evaluation of long-term trends
871 and the methods used to establish these criteria.

872
873 o)j) The laboratory shall investigate the cause when results do not meet acceptance criteria and
874 take corrective actions to eliminate the source or minimize the magnitude of the problem. The
875 laboratory shall consider samples associated with a failed quality control parameter as suspect
876 and shall, wherever possible, reprocess such samples. Where reprocessing is not possible, the
877 laboratory shall report results with appropriate data qualifiers. The laboratory shall note the
878 occurrence of a failed quality control sample and any associated actions in the laboratory
879 report.

880 1.7.2.2 Negative Control – Method Performance: Method Blank

881
882
883 The method blank assesses the process of handling, preparation and analysis for cross-
884 contamination and for low-level analytical bias. For methods with minimal physical treatment or no
885 chemical processing (e.g., drying, grinding and homogenization of solid samples, or preparation of
886 sample test sources for swipe or air filter samples for non-destructive gamma spectrometry or
887 alpha-beta counting), the method blank assesses sample handling and the analytical process.

888
889 a) The laboratory shall analyze a method blank at a minimum of one (1) per preparation or
890 analytical radiation measurements batch.

- 891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
- b) The method blank sample test source shall simulate quality system matrix characteristics that significantly affect results, such as geometry, size, and other factors as appropriate.
- i) The laboratory shall prepare the method blank using materials that conform to the range of physical or chemical parameters applicable to the associated test sources ~~of the same quality system matrix as samples~~ in the batch. The material used for the method blank shall be free of analytes of interest at levels that will interfere with the evaluation of the results. If an analyte-free matrix is not available, the laboratory shall use a surrogate matrix to simulate the quality system matrix.
- ii) The size of the aliquot used for calculation of the method blank result shall be similar to that of routine samples for analyses. If the size of samples in a preparation batch varies (e.g., due to differences in sample density or restrictions on the activity or mass residue that may be processed), the laboratory shall use acceptance criteria that compensate for differing aliquot sizes (e.g., z-score per MARLAP, 18.4.1).
- c) The laboratory shall have procedures in place to determine if a method blank result is significantly different from zero or impacts the analytical results. For example:
- i) The method blank exceeds the pre-established upper or lower bounds for the measurement, where the upper and lower bounds are plus x times the CSU standard uncertainty and negative y times the CSU standard uncertainty and x and y are the coverage factors for the established confidence interval as established by the laboratory's quality assurance program. The upper and lower bounds are not necessarily symmetrical.
- ii) When applicable, the sample-specific MDA for the method blank is greater than the required MDA.
- d) Corrective actions shall be taken if the sample results are less than five (5) times the method blank activity and it is determined that a method blank result is significantly different from zero or impacts the analytical results.
- e) The laboratory shall evaluate results of method blanks for long term trends, absolute bias, possible contamination or interferences that may affect sample results.
- f) The laboratory shall not subtract the batch method blank from sample results in the associated preparation or radiation measurements analytical batch. The laboratory may subtract the average historical activity of method blank measurements to address a demonstrated bias. The laboratory shall account for the uncertainty of the subtracted value in its estimate of uncertainty for the final result.

933 1.7.2.3 Positive Control – Method Performance: Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

934
935
936
937
938
939
940

The LCS is used to evaluate the performance of the analytical system, including all preparation and analysis steps. For methods with minimal physical treatment and no chemical processing (e.g., drying, grinding and homogenization of solid samples, or preparation of sample test sources for swipe or air filter samples for non-destructive gamma spectrometry or alpha-beta counting), the LCS assesses the analytical process for bias.

- 941
942
943
944
- a) The laboratory shall analyze a LCS at a minimum of one (1) per preparation or analytical radiation measurements batch. For radiation measurements analytical batches, a calibration verification standard may be analyzed in lieu of the LCS.

- 945 b) The LCS test source shall simulate quality system matrix characteristics that significantly affect
946 results, such as geometry, size or other factors.
947
- 948 i) ~~————The laboratory shall prepare the positive controls LCS using materials that conform to~~
949 ~~the range of physical and chemical parameters applicable to the associated test sources of~~
950 ~~the same quality system matrix as samples~~ in the batch.
951
- 952 ii) ~~————~~The material used to create the LCS should be free of analytes of interest at levels
953 that will interfere with the evaluation of the results. If an analyte-free surrogate matrix is not
954 available, the laboratory may use a surrogate matrix to simulate the sample matrix. If
955 analyte free materials are not available for the LCS, the materials must be characterized
956 and documented for the analyte(s) of concern and accounted for in the evaluation of the
957 LCS.
958
- 959 iii) The size of the aliquot used for calculation of the LCS result shall be similar to that of
960 routine samples for analyses. If the size of samples in a preparation batch varies (e.g., due
961 to restrictions on the activity or mass residue that may be processed), the laboratory shall
962 use acceptance criteria for samples that compensate for differing aliquot sizes (e.g., z-
963 score per MARLAP, 18.4.1).
964
- 965 c) For methods with minimal physical treatment and no chemical processing, the laboratory may
966 prepare the LCS a single time and reuse the standard with subsequent batches of samples.
967 The laboratory may use a calibration source for the LCS if the source is independent of the
968 source used for calibration of the measurement system (see 1.7.2.2.e) below).
969
- 970 d) The laboratory shall spike the LCS at a level such that the uncertainty of the analytical result is
971 less than one-third of the acceptance criteria. For example if it is required that the LCS result be
972 within +/- 30% of the known value, the laboratory shall spike the LCS at a level such that the
973 uncertainty of the analytical result is less than or equal to 10%. When practical, the LCS should
974 be spiked at a level comparable to the action level if known; or that of routine samples if the
975 activities are expected to exceed ten (10) times the Decision Level (Critical Value).
976
- 977 e) When available, the standard used to prepare the LCS shall be from a source independent of
978 the laboratory standard used for instrument calibration and shall meet the requirements for
979 reference standards provided in Section 1.7.56.2.c). If an independent source is not available, a
980 second source shall be procured and prepared independently of the calibration source. ~~The~~
981 ~~final prepared LCS need not be traceable to a national standard organization.~~
982
- 983 f) The LCS shall include all of the radionuclide(s) being determined with the following exceptions:
984
- 985 i) ~~————~~For methods that measure gross activity (e.g., gross alpha, gross beta), an
986 appropriate surrogate analyte shall be used. This will generally be the radionuclide(s) used
987 to calibrate the detector.
988
- 989 ii) ~~————~~For alpha spectrometry measurements, when multiple individual radionuclides with
990 similar chemical characteristics are determined simultaneously with a single measurement
991 and calibration, only one of the analytes/isotopes needs to be included in the LCS at the
992 indicated activity level (see Section 1.7.2.2.d above).
993
- 994 iii) Where a non-destructive gamma-ray spectrometry measurement is made using a multi-
995 point energy/efficiency calibration curve which covers the energy range of the analyte(s) of
996 interest:
997

- 998
- 999
- 1000
- 1001
- 1002
- 1003
- 1004
- 1005
- 1006
- 1007
- 1008
- 1009
- 1010
- 1011
- 1012
- 1013
- 1014
- a radionuclide with similar gamma energies as those of the analyte(s) of interest may be used (e.g., ^{133}Ba may be used in place of ^{131}I), or
 - the LCS shall contain gamma-emitting radionuclides that, at a minimum, represent the low (e.g., ^{241}Am) and high (e.g., ^{60}Co) energy range of the analyzed gamma-ray spectra. Commonly a medium energy radionuclide is also included in the LCS (e.g., ^{137}Cs). As indicated by these examples, the nuclides need not exactly bracket the calibration energy range or the range over which radionuclides are identified and quantified.
- g) The laboratory shall evaluate results of the batch LCS using a statistical technique such as the percent recovery or Z-score that allows comparison to established acceptance criteria documented in the laboratory quality control program.
- h) Where more than one analyte is spiked at a level that meets the LCS requirements (see [Section 1.7.2.3.d](#) above), each shall be assessed against the specified acceptance criteria.

1.7.2.4 Sample-Specific QC Measures

1015

1016

1017

1018

1019

1020

1021

1022

1023

1024

1025

The laboratory shall document procedures for determining the effect of the sample matrix on the analytical results. These procedures relate to the analyses of specific quality control (QC) samples and are designed as data quality indicators for a specific sample using the designated method. Examples of sample-specific QC include: Matrix Spike (MS); Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD), Matrix Duplicate (MD), Tracers, and Carriers. The laboratory shall have procedures in place for tracking, managing, and handling sample-specific QC criteria including spiking components at appropriate activities, calculating recoveries, determining variability (e.g., relative percent difference and/or Z-score), and evaluating and reporting results based on the performance of the QC samples.

a) Matrix Spike

- 1026
- 1027
- 1028
- 1029
- 1030
- 1031
- 1032
- 1033
- 1034
- 1035
- 1036
- 1037
- 1038
- 1039
- 1040
- 1041
- 1042
- 1043
- 1044
- 1045
- 1046
- 1047
- 1048
- 1049
- 1050
- 1051
- 1052
- i) Matrix Spike (MS) recoveries are an indication of effects of the matrix on sample result accuracy using the selected method. The MS results are employed by the data user to determine if an MS issue has any impact on their related batch samples. Matrix Sspikes are not typically employed for non-destructive methods (e.g., gamma spectrometry or direct counting of samples for alpha or beta radioactivity), or for methods that employ a chemical yield tracer or carrier for each sample.
 - ii) The frequency of the analysis of Matrix Sspikes is specified by the method, a regulation or determined as part of the contract review process.
 - iii) The components spiked shall be as specified by the mandated method, regulation or as determined as part of the contract review process. At minimum, they will be consistent with those specified for the LCS in Sections 1.7.2.3.e and 1.7.2.3.f.
 - iv) The size and aliquot used for a Matrix Sspike shall be similar to that of routine samples analyzed in the preparation batch. If the sample size in the preparation batch varies (e.g., due to restriction on the activity or mass residue that may be processed), the laboratory shall apply appropriate corrections to compensate for differing aliquot sizes when applying the acceptance criteria for the batch.
 - v) The lack of sufficient sample aliquot to perform a Matrix Sspike shall be noted in the laboratory report.
 - vi) The activity of the Matrix Sspike analyte(s) shall be greater than five (5) times the MDA.

- 1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
- vii) Acceptance criteria for Mmatrix Sspike recoveries shall be as documented in the method, regulation or in contract. Where there are no established criteria in the method, a regulation or contract, the laboratory shall develop its criteria for Mmatrix Sspike recoveries based on industry practices and guidelines such as MARLAP.
- viii) When available, the standard used to prepare the Mmatrix Sspike shall be from a source independent of the laboratory standard used for instrument calibration and shall meet the requirements for reference standard provided in Section 1.7.2.6.c1-7.5.2.c(?). If an independent standard is not available, a second source shall be procured and prepared independently of the calibration source. ~~The final prepared matrix spike need not be traceable to a national standards organization.~~
- ix) The Mmatrix Sspike shall be prepared by adding a known activity of target analyte prior to performing any processes that affect the analyte of interest (e.g., chemical digestion, dissolution, ashing, separation, etc.).
- 1069
1070
- b) Matrix Duplicates / Matrix Spike Duplicates / LCS Duplicates
- 1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
- i) A duplicate is defined as a second aliquot of the same sample taken through the entire analytical procedure. The results of this analysis provide indications of the measurement precision of the analyte for the specific sample using the selected method. Duplicate analyses provide a measure of precision when the target analyte is present in the sample chosen for duplication.
- ii) Matrix Duplicate (MD) criteria are as specified by the method, regulation or determined as part of the contract review process. Where there are no established criteria in the method, a regulation or contract, the laboratory shall develop its criteria for duplicate acceptance based on guidelines established in the MARLAP or other criteria such control charting developed by the laboratory. This shall be documented in ~~the method SOPs~~written procedures.
- iii) At a minimum, the laboratory shall analyze one MD per preparation or analytical-radiation measurements batch. For ~~analytical-batches~~RMBs, the MD shall consist of a second measurement of one sample. If the batch is counted on more than one detector, the MD shall be performed on a second detector.
- iv) When samples have low-levels of activity (less than approximately three times the MDA) the laboratory, at its discretion, may analyze matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate to determine reproducibility within a preparation batch in place of a MD.
- 1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
- Based on specific project or program requirements or when there is insufficient sample available, the laboratory may choose to analyze a LCS in duplicate in place of a MD. The LCS and its duplicate will provide a measure of analytical precision. However, they will not provide information on matrix effects.
- 1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
- c) Chemical Yield Tracers and Carriers
- i) For those methods that employ a radioactive tracer or a stable carrier as a chemical yield monitor in the analysis, each sample shall have an associated chemical yield calculated and reported. The chemical yield is one of the quality control measures to be used to assess the associated sample result acceptance.
- ii) The selection of a tracer or carrier shall not significantly interfere with the analyte(s) of interest nor cause bias in its measurements. When such a tracer or carrier is unavailable, the interference or bias caused shall be quantifiable and appropriate correction applied to

1107 the sample results.

- 1108
- 1109 iii) The chemical yield (tracer or carrier) shall be added to the sample prior to performing any
- 1110 processes that affect the analyte of interest (e.g., chemical digestion, dissolution, ashing,
- 1111 separation, etc.) unless otherwise specified by the method.
- 1112
- 1113 iv) The chemical yield shall be assessed against ~~specific~~ acceptance criteria specified in the
- 1114 method, regulation, contract or laboratory SOP. The laboratory shall develop its criteria for
- 1115 data acceptance based on guidelines established in the MARLAP or other criteria such
- 1116 control charting developed by the laboratory. This assessment shall meet established
- 1117 project or program measurement quality objectives (MQO).
- 1118
- 1119 v) When the specified chemical yield acceptance criteria are not met, the specified corrective
- 1120 action and contingencies shall be followed. The occurrence of a failed chemical yield and
- 1121 the actions taken shall be noted in the laboratory report.
- 1122

1123 1.7.2.5 Data Reduction

- 1124
- 1125 a) The procedures for data reduction shall be documented.
- 1126
- 1127 b) Detection ~~levels capability~~ (e.g., MDA or Critical Level, ~~or as appropriate~~) shall be calculated as
- 1128 described in Section 1.5.2.
- 1129
- 1130 c) Measurement uncertainties shall be calculated and reported as described in Section 1.5.4.
- 1131

1132 1.7.2.6 Reagent Quality, Water Quality, and Checks

- 1133
- 1134 a) In methods where the purity of reagents is not specified, reagents shall be analytical reagent
- 1135 grade or better. Reagents of lesser purity than those specified by the method shall not be used.
- 1136 ~~The labels on the container should be checked to verify that the purity of the reagents meets~~
- 1137 ~~the requirements of the particular method. Such information shall be available.~~
- 1138
- 1139 b) The quality of water sources shall be monitored and documented and shall meet method
- 1140 specified requirements.
- 1141
- 1142 c) The quality control program shall establish and maintain provisions for radionuclide standards.
- 1143
- 1144 i) ~~Reference standards shall be obtained from a National Metrology Institute (NMI, e.g. NIST~~
- 1145 ~~in the USA or NPL in Great Britain) or from suppliers of NMI reference standards.~~
- 1146 ~~Alternatively, reference standards may be obtained from an ISO/IEC Guide 34 or ANSI~~
- 1147 ~~N42.22 accredited reference material provider. Reference standards that are used in a~~
- 1148 ~~radiochemical laboratory shall be obtained from NIST or from suppliers of NIST standards~~
- 1149 ~~or NIST traceable radionuclides. Alternatively, reference standards may be obtained from~~
- 1150 ~~suppliers outside the United States, provided that the standards are traceable back to each~~
- 1151 ~~country's national standards laboratory.~~
- 1152
- 1153 ii) Reference standards shall be accompanied with a certificate of calibration that ~~meets the~~
- 1154 ~~requirements of either ISO Guide 31, or ANSI N42.22 - 1995, Section 8, Certificates and~~
- 1155 ~~shall include~~ at least the following information: ~~M~~manufacturer, radionuclides calibrated,
- 1156 identification number, calibration method, activities or emission rates with associated
- 1157 uncertainties and the confidence limits, ~~standard quantity, calibration or activity~~ reference
- 1158 ~~date and time (date or time if as appropriate for to~~ the half-life of the radionuclide), physical
- 1159 and/or chemical description of the source, and radionuclide impurities ~~(reference ANSI~~
- 1160 ~~N42.22 - 1995, Section 8, Certificates).~~
- 1161

1162 iii) Standards prepared or derived from externally-obtained reference materials shall be verified
1163 against an independent standard obtained from a second manufacturer prior to initial use.
1164 The use of a standard from a second lot obtained from the same manufacturer is acceptable
1165 for use as a second source standard. Discrepancies between observed and expected values
1166 shall be investigated and appropriate measures taken that document the validity of
1167 standards prior to use.

1168
1169 iv) The laboratory shall account for radioactive decay/ingrowth whenever decay/ingrowth has
1170 occurred between the reference date and use that could impact use of the results.

1171
1172 v) The laboratory shall have written procedures for handling, storing, and establishing
1173 expiration dates for reference standards.

1174
1175 ~~iii) Standards shall be verified prior to initial use. Laboratories should consult with the supplier~~
1176 ~~if the lab's verification of the activity of the reference traceable standard indicates a~~
1177 ~~noticeable deviation from the certified value. The laboratory shall use only the decay-~~
1178 ~~corrected certified value. The laboratory shall have a written procedure for handling,~~
1179 ~~storing, and establishing expiration dates for reference standards.~~

1180 ~~v) iv) —~~ If there is no known provider of a particular standard (e.g., non-routine radionuclide or
1181 non-standard matrix) that is traceable to the International System of Units (SI), the
1182 laboratory may have no alternative but to use a standard with less rigorously established
1183 traceability. In this event, the laboratory shall obtain from the provider the minimum
1184 information described in Section 1.7.2.6.c.ii. The laboratory, and will undertake to, shall
1185 independently verify the activity of such standards prior to use and document the
1186 information verification.

1187
1188 vi)

1189 If the laboratory's verification indicates a significant deviation from the original information from the provider,
1190 the standard should not be used unless the discrepancy can be resolved. If the
1191 standard is used for analysis of sample unknowns, the source and any other known
1192 limitations of the standard shall be disclosed in the final report.

1193 1194 1.7.2.7 Constant and Consistent Test Conditions

1195
1196 a) The laboratory shall assure that ~~the~~ test instruments consistently operate within the
1197 specifications required of the application for which the equipment is used, according to Section
1198 1.7.1.-

1199
1200 b) Labware Cleaning. Labware shall be cleaned to meet the sensitivity requirements of the
1201 method. Any cleaning and storage procedures that are not specified by the method shall be
1202 documented in the laboratory's quality management system and records. Note that some
1203 applications may require single-use glassware.

1204
1205 c) Radiological Control Program. The laboratory shall maintain a radiological control program that
1206 addresses analytical radiological control. ~~The program shall address the procedures for~~
1207 ~~segregating samples with potentially widely varying levels of radioactivity.~~ The radiological
1208 control program shall explicitly define how low-level and high-level samples will be identified,
1209 segregated and processed in order to prevent sample cross-contamination. The radiological
1210 control program shall include the measures taken to monitor and evaluate background activity
1211 or contamination on an ongoing basis.

1212 1213 1.7.3 Data Evaluation and Reporting

1214 1215 1.7.3.1 Negative Control – Method Performance: Method Blank

1216

- 1217 a) Method blank results shall be evaluated for long term trends, absolute bias, possible
1218 contamination or interferences that may affect results for samples in the batch.
1219
- 1220 b) Method blank acceptance criteria are discussed in Section 1.7.2.1 above. If acceptance limits
1221 are not met, corrective actions shall be taken to investigate the source of contamination or
1222 other bias. If sample activity levels are greater than five times the activity found in the method
1223 blank, lacking other requirements, it is acceptable to report qualified results for the samples
1224 associated with the blank. Otherwise, reprocessing and reanalysis of the associated samples
1225 shall be required.
1226
- 1227 c) When sample results associated with a failed method blank are reported, the failure and associated
1228 corrective actions, or inability to complete corrective actions, shall be noted in the laboratory report.
1229
- 1230 1.7.3.2 Positive Control – Method Performance: Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)
1231
- 1232 a) LCS recoveries are evaluated to assess the performance of the entire analytical system
1233 independent of the sample matrix. LCS results are calculated in percent recovery (%R) or other
1234 appropriate statistical measure that allows comparison to established acceptance criteria. The
1235 laboratory shall document the calculation.
1236
- 1237 b) LCS acceptance criteria are discussed in Section 1.7.2.2 above. An LCS that is determined to
1238 be within established acceptance limits effectively demonstrates that the analytical system is in
1239 control and validates system performance for the samples in the associated batch. Samples
1240 associated with an LCS that fails to meet acceptance limits are considered suspect and the
1241 samples shall be reprocessed and reanalyzed. If samples cannot be reprocessed and
1242 reanalyzed, the failure and associated corrective actions or inability to complete corrective
1243 actions shall be noted in the laboratory report.
1244
- 1245 1.7.3.3 Sample-Specific Controls
1246
- 1247 a) Matrix Spike, Matrix Duplicates, and Matrix Spike Duplicates
1248
- 1249 i) Matrix ~~S~~spikes and ~~M~~matrix ~~D~~uplicates allow evaluation of the effect of matrix on the
1250 accuracy and precision of results. Results from matrix spikes are calculated as percent
1251 recovery (%R), matrix ~~replicates-duplicates~~ and matrix spike duplicate precision are
1252 calculated as relative percent difference (RPD), Z_{Rep} (see MARLAP, Section 18.4.2), or
1253 other appropriate statistical measure that allows comparison to established acceptance
1254 criteria. The laboratory shall document the calculation of QC results.
1255
- 1256 ii) Acceptance criteria are discussed in Section 1.7.2.4 above. For results outside established
1257 criteria, corrective action shall be documented or the data reported with appropriate data
1258 qualifying codes. QC results outside acceptance limits shall be noted in the laboratory
1259 report.
1260
- 1261 b) Tracers and Carriers
1262
- 1263 i) For those methods that employ radioactive tracers or stable carriers as chemical yield
1264 monitors in each sample results are expressed as percent yield or other appropriate
1265 statistical measure that allows comparison to established acceptance criteria.
1266
- 1267 ii) For alpha spectrometry, evaluation of tracer acceptability shall include evaluation of
1268 chemical yield (e.g., uncertainty, variability) and peak resolution.
1269
- 1270 iii) Acceptance criteria are discussed in Section 1.7.2.4 above. Samples associated with
1271 tracers or carriers that fail to meet acceptance limits are considered suspect, and the

1272 samples shall be reprocessed and/or reanalyzed. If samples cannot be reprocessed and/or
1273 reanalyzed, the failure and associated corrective actions or inability to complete corrective
1274 actions shall be noted in the laboratory report.
1275

1276 1.7.3.4 Evaluation of Sample Results

- 1277
- 1278 a) Instrument raw data from energy spectral analysis shall be evaluated to ensure that the target
1279 radionuclides are quantified correctly identified consistent with laboratory procedures and
1280 applicable MQOs, and that target radionuclides in the spectra are evaluated for free of target
1281 radionuclide possible interferences.
- 1282
- 1283 b) Results shall be reviewed for internal consistency, such as the presence of radionuclides
1284 consistent with known parent-progeny relationships and expected or likely decay series.
1285
- 1286 c) Sample-specific estimates of uncertainty and minimum detectable activity (MDA) shall be
1287 evaluated to ensure that MQOs have been met.
1288
- 1289 d) If these objectives have not been met, then samples shall be reprocessed and/or reanalyzed. If
1290 samples cannot be reprocessed and/or reanalyzed, the failure and associated corrective
1291 actions, or inability to complete corrective actions, shall be noted in the laboratory report.
1292

1293 1.7.3.5 Reporting Results

- 1294
- 1295 a) Reports delivered to the laboratory's client shall be consistent with the requirements of this
1296 Standard (Volume 1, Module 2, Section 5.10).
1297
- 1298 b) Following evaluation according to Section 1.7.3.4, rResults shall be reported directly as
1299 obtained, with appropriate units, even if the results are negative.
- 1300
- 1301 c) Results shall be expressed with an appropriate number of significant figures.
1302
- 1303 d) All radiochemical results shall be reported with an estimate of uncertainty, as discussed in
1304 Section 1.6-5.4 above.
- 1305
- 1306 e) Laboratories shall report the activity reference date in association with all radiochemical
1307 measurement results.
1308
- 1309 f) Project_ or client_specified reporting requirements can take precedence over the requirements
1310 of this Standard.
1311

1312 1.7.4 Sample Handling

1313

1314 1.7.4.1 While it may not be possible to physically verify all methods of preservation (e.g., addition of
1315 oxidizing or reducing agents), wherever practicable, the laboratory shall verify that samples have
1316 been preserved in compliance with all applicable requirements specified by regulation, method, or
1317 contract, or as established in the laboratory's quality management plan (if there are no established
1318 mandatory criteria).
1319

1320 1.7.4.2 The laboratory shall document the required timing, methods for performing measurements, the
1321 acceptance range, or any other conditions indicating acceptable preservation.
1322

- 1323 a) Where thermal preservation of samples is required, the laboratory shall verify the temperature
1324 of samples upon receipt.
1325

- 1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
- b) Where chemical preservation of samples is required, the laboratory shall verify that samples have been preserved using readily available techniques such as pH measurement prior to sample preparation or analysis.
- 1.7.4.3 If the results of the verification do not satisfy established criteria, the laboratory shall initiate corrective actions (i.e., notification of the client, preservation of the sample at the time of discovery), and qualify all impacted test results in the report to the client.