Laboratory Accreditation System Executive Committee Meeting Minutes June 28, 2016 1:30 pm Eastern time

1) Welcome and Roll Call

Judy Morgan welcomed everyone to the meeting. Those in attendance are recorded in Attachment A. A motion was made and seconded to approve minutes by email vote, with five (5) yes votes recorded by attendees.

2) Assessment Forum and Mentor Session

In Barbara's absence, various individuals reported on the final status of the Mentor Session and Assessment Forums planned for the summer conference sessions. The final session agendas are included as Attachment 3, below.

3) SIRs

The SIR Subcommittee reviewed and approved the response to the latest SIR, #302, and it is now posted to the NELAP AC's SIR Voting site.

4) On-Site Assessment and Prep Method Policies for the NELAP AC

Judy addressed these two much-delayed policies. Another individual has accepted the assignment to continue refining the on-site assessment policy, and no one else offered to take over the prep method policy since the previous meeting. Dorothy offered to help Kristin with the prep method document, and Kristin will share the current materials with her. Thank you to Dorothy and our unnamed volunteer.

5) Status of Standards Review

The draft recommendations on the remaining standards documents (PT/V1M1&V2M2, QS/V1M2, LOD/LOQ, Chemistry/V1M4, and Microbiology/V1M5) were approved in an email vote after the May LASEC meeting. That approval came in time for them to be submitted to the NELAP AC at its June 6 meeting. The NELAP Chair indicated her expectation that members should be prepared to vote on accepting those recommendations at the July 5 NELAP AC meeting.

Also, once the recommendations were approved, the comments from module reviewers were forwarded to the appropriate committees.

The final versions of all modules for Volume 1 of the 2016 TNI Standard were delivered to LASEC a few days before this meeting, and distributed to committee members. These modules have been through the Standards Review Council (SRC) and are ready for LASEC's final review and presumably our recommendation for adoption to the NELAP AC. See Attachment D for the explanation provided by the Consensus Standards Development Executive Committee Chair (CSDEC) about the SRC and its review.

However, they do need one final review. Judy asked for volunteers during the meeting, and the following individuals responded. A follow-up email was sent to everyone asking

that each person choose one module to review. The specific people who were specifically requested for rad chem and asbestos are noted in parentheses below.

V1M1, Proficiency Testing Judy Morgan

V1M2, Quality Systems Dorothy Love, JoAnn Boyd

V1M3, Asbestos (Gale Warren) V1M4, Chemistry Nick Straccione V1M5, Microbiology Myron Gunsalus

V1M6, Radiological Chemistry Bill Hall (George Detsis)

V1M7, WET (using current 2009 module, no additional review expected

Judy asked that reviewers provide their comments and recommendations prior to the July 26 LASEC meeting, if possible, and noted that she will email for a status update in two weeks.

6) Agenda at Conference

Our agenda at conference will be a summary and status of LASEC's standards review activities, our other accomplishments since Tulsa, and hopefully we'll have progress to report on the two policies under development.

7) New Business

There was no new business. The meeting adjourned about 2:40 pm Eastern.

8) Next Meeting

LASEC will meet on Tuesday, July 26, at 1:30 pm. Teleconference information and an agenda will be sent ahead of time. Action Items are included in Attachment B.

Attachment A
PARTICIPANTS --TNI LABORATORY ACCREDITATION SYSTEMS EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

	NAME	EMAIL	TERM, End Date	INTEREST	AFFILIATION	S/H CATEGORY	PRESENT
1	Judy Morgan, Chair	Judy.Morgan@pacelabs.com	3 years, 12/18	Chair (all)	Pace Analytical	Lab/FSMO	Yes
2	JoAnn Boyd	jboyd@swri.org	3 years, 12/16	StdsRev	Southwest Research Inst.	Lab/FSMO	Yes
3	Kristin Brown, Vice Chair	kristinbrown@utah.gov	2 years, 2/17	SIRs/Assmt Forum/FAQ	UT Bur. of Lab Improvement	NELAP AB	Yes
4	David Caldwell	david.caldwell@deq.ok.gov	2 years, 12/17	Assmt Forum	OK DEQ	Non-NELAP AB	Yes
5	Karen Costa	Costa.Karen@epa.gov	3 years, 12/17		US EPA	Other	No
6	George Detsis	george.detsis@eh.doe.gov	3 years, 12/17	Assmt Forum	US DOE	Other	No
7	Barbara Escobar	Barbara.Escobar@pima.gov	3 years, 12/18	Mentor, AssmtFrm, FAQ	Pima County, AZ	Lab/FSMO	No
8	Jack Farrell	aex@ix.netcom.com	3 years, 12/16	Assmt Forum, StdsRev	Analytical Excellence	Other	No
9	Myron Gunsalus	ngunsalus@kdheks.gov	3 years, 12/18	KS DHE	KS Lab Director	NELAP AB	Yes
10	Bill Hall	George.Hall@des.nh.gov	3 years, 12/16	SIRs,FAQs	NH ELAP	NELAP AB	Yes
11	Carl Kircher	carl.kircher@doh.state.fl.us	3 years, 12/18	SIRs, FAQs	FL DOH	NELAP AB	No
12	Dorothy Love	dorothylove@eurofinsus.com	3 years, 12/18		Eurofins Env't'l	Lab	Yes
13	Mitzi Miller	mitzi.miller@moellerinc.com	2 years, 12/17	FAQs	Dade Moeller, Inc	Other	No
14	William Ray	Bill Ray@williamrayllc.com	3 years, 12/17		Wm Ray Consultants	Other	No
Ex (Officio						
	Elizabeth Turner	eturner@ntmwd.com		Ex Officio	Small Lab Issues	North TX Mun. Water District	No

Associate Members					
Aaren Alger	aaalger@pa.gov		PA DEP	NELAP AB	No
Carol Barrick	cabarrick@msn.com, Carol.Barrick@mosaicco.com		FCC Environmental	Lab/FSMO	No
Kirstin Daigle	Kirstin.daigle@testamericainc.com		TestAmerica	Lab	No
Carol Haines	bio.haines@gmail.com	Stds Rev, ad hocs	Retired from EPA as of 5/1/15	Other	No
Harold Longbaugh			Houston Lab	Lab	Yes
Christelle Newsome	cnewsome@c2nassociates.com		C2N Associates, Inc.	Other	No
Carol Schrenkel	CSchrenkel@suburbantestinglabs. com	Mentor, Ass. Forum		Other	No
Nick Straccione	nicholas.straccione@sgs.com		SGS	Lab	Yes
Gale Warren	ggw01@health.state.ny.us	SIRs	NY ELAP	NELAP AB	No
Program Admin. Lynn Bradley	Lynn.bradley@nelac-institute.org				Yes
Guests					

Attachment B

Action Items – LAS EC

	Action Item	Who	Expected Completion	Actual Completion / Comments
42	Craft wording for recommendation	Judy/Mitzi	After	Recommendation to
'-	about PT modules	day/www	comments	AC approved as
	about 1 modules		from IS voting	result of motion at
			are reviewed	May 24 meeting
			and	May 21 mooning
			addressed?	
56	Review LOD/LOQ module and	All committee	In time for	March 22, 2016
	submit comments by March 4	members	March 22	Recommendation to
			meeting	AC approved as
				result of motion at
				May 24 meeting
57	Revise Provisional SOP 3-106 per	Judy	April 1	Completed and
	Policy Committee recommendations			approved at May 24,
	,			2016 meeting
58	Transmit requests for items to be	Lynn	April 15	Approved at May 24
	included in guidance for Calibration			meeting, transmitted
	and LOD/LOQ documents			May 31, 2016
59	Review Quality Systems (V1M2)	All committee	In time for	Comments received
	module and submit comments by	members	April 19	and recommen-
	April 8		meeting	dation to AC
				approved as result
				of motion at May 24
				meeting
60	Review Micro (V1M5), Chemistry	Request by	By May 13,	Comments received
	(V1M4) and PT (V1M1/V2M2)	email to all	so in time for	and recommend-
	modules	committee	May 24	ation to AC
		members,	meeting	approved as result
		send in lieu of		of motion at May 24
		April meeting		meeting
61	Review final modules of 2016	Individual	By July 26	
	Standard	committee	committee	
		members per	meeting	
		6/28 minutes		
62	Request status update on reviews	Judy	July 12 or 13	

Attachment C

Mentor/Assessment Forum Outline Orange County, CA August 2016

Mentor Session Monday 8/8/16 Morning – Best Tips on Writing and Reviewing SOPs

9:00-9:10am Intro/Objectives/Agenda/Ground Rules (Barbara Escobar)

9:10 am -10:00 Writing and Reviewing SOPs - - The KISS Approach

Presenter: Dorothy Love from Eurofins Environment Testing US

10:00-10:30am Break

10:30-11:50am SOP Hot Topics – Panel Discussion

Electronic vs Hardcopy

Who reviews and revises – lab staff or QA?

• Tips to ensure accuracy vs the referenced method

Handling proprietary contentCommon audit citations

Panelists: Dorothy Love, Scott Siders from PDC Laboratories, Bob Pullano from

GEL, John Gumpper from Chemval, Ray Frederici from TestAmerica Inc.

11:50-12pm Wrap-up, Evaluations and Future Topics Discussed (Jack Farrell)

Assessment Forum

Monday 8/8/16 Afternoon - Demystifying How to be TNI Compliant

1:00-1:10pm Intro/Objectives/Agenda/Ground Rules (Barbara Escobar)
1:10-2:00pm Steps to Successfully Achieving TNI Accreditation

Presenters: Christine Sotelo, California Water Boards, & Jack Farrell, AEX, Inc.

2:00 -3pm How Small Labs Effectively Comply with the TNI Standard

Nilda Cox, Eurofins US & Cari Sumabat, Maui County Dept of Water Supply,

Water Quality Laboratory.

3:00-3:30 pm Break

3:30-4:30pm Audit Findings - Myths and Legends

A panel discussion on what makes an audit finding valid.

Panelists: George Detsis from US DOE, Kristin Brown from Utah Environmental Laboratory Certification, John Gumpper from Chemval & Lynn Boysen from the Minnesota Department of Health Environmental Laboratory Accreditation

Program.

4:50-5:00pm Wrap-up, Evaluations and Future Topics Discussed (Jack Farrell)

Assessment Forum

Tuesday 8/9/16 Morning - Whole Effluent Toxicity Testing

9:00am-9:05am Intro/Objectives/Agenda/Ground Rules (Barbara Escobar)

9:05am -9:30am Overview of WET Testing

What is WET Testing

Methodology Test Organisms Test Endpoints

Test of Significant Toxicity (TST)

West Coast Methods

Presenters: Ginger Briggs, Bio-Analytical Laboratories, Inc. & Katie

Payne, Nautilus Environmental

9:30am -10:00am Traceability of WET Testing

Food, Organisms, Water & Equipment Presenter: Laura Davis, Shealy Consulting

10:00am-10:30am Break

10:30am-11:50am Assessing WETT Laboratories

WETT Laboratory vs Chemical Laboratory

IDOC/DOC

Common Auditor Findings Presenter: Ginger Briggs

11:50am-12pm Wrap-up, Evaluations and Future Topics Discussed (Jack Farrell)

Note: Guidance WETT Methods Checklist will be discussed at the afternoon WETT

Committee Meeting.

WETT Committee Meeting Tuesday 8/9/16 Afternoon

1-3pm

Attachment D

Description of the SRC Effort

The Standards Review Council (SRC) is defined in SOP 2-100 V2 as follows and its activities are initiated upon presentation by the Expert Committee of a Voting Draft Standard (VDS). The efforts of this Council are not to provide a technical review but rather a review focused on clarity and consistency as well as proper presentation.

Standards Review Council – a voluntary group representing stakeholders from Expert Committees, Accreditation Bodies, Laboratories and others, knowledgeable of the TNI process and the Standard that insures consistency in format of a module or volume of a standard with the Guidelines for Standards Development, editorial and/or grammatical corrections, clarity of content and overall consistency with other modules and volumes of the standard.

The volunteer members of the SRC are Robert Wyeth, Scott Siders, Larry Penfold, Elizabeth Turner, Carl Kircher and Kevin Holbrook. Ken Jackson has served as an administrative aide in the efforts of the SRC particularly in the final stages of review for additional grammatical corrections and/or formatting for consistency with the other standards.

The process is completed by e-mail and/or telephone conversations with individual SRC members. No meetings are held and subsequently no minutes are maintained. The process beginning with submission of the VDS, is to distribute the document to all SRC members for review. As CSDEC Chair, Robert Wyeth initiates this distribution and provides a detailed review of the VDS with an accounting of potential changes, additions or corrections to the VDS for consideration. SRC members then respond in agreement (or disagreement) with the proposed changes, additions and corrections plus any additional edits they believe are required. Mr. Wyeth then compiles all the proposed changes, additions and corrections and communicates those to the expert committee chair for their committee consideration. Based upon the nature of the review conducted by the SRC, no changes, additions or corrections have been rejected by the expert committees and all said changes, additions and corrections have been introduced into the VDS as it proceeds through the development process outlined in SOP 2-100 V2.