Quality System Expert Committee (QS) Meeting Summary

March 11, 2019

1. Roll Call:

Jessica Jensen, Chair, called the meeting to order at 1pm Eastern by teleconference. Attendance is recorded in Attachment A – there were 8 members present. Associate Members present: Carol Barrick, Eric Davis, Joe Manzella, John Gumper, Kristina Vanchidk, Halley Hastings, Linda ODonnell, Paul Junio, Debbie Bond, Rose Cruz, Chad Stoike and Roberto Cabrera.

Review of pending minutes:

A motion was made by Jenna to accept the January 14, 2019 minutes with the additional voting information and a year correction in the date. The motion was seconded by Earl and unanimously approved.

A motion was made by Earl to approve the January 29-30, 2019 minutes as written. The motion was seconded by Michelle and they were unanimously approved.

A motion was made by Earl to approve the February 21, 2019 minutes as written. The motion was seconded by Michelle and they were unanimously approved.

2. SIR Review

Jerry has asked that all the Expert Committees use the same table to summarize the status of the SIRs.

Paul started transferring the information from the original table used to look at SIRs. He brought this table up on Webex and started to review the entries made.

Ilona reminded the committee that columns C,D and E should also be reviewed and updated if needed. These columns give the reference in the 2003, 2009 and 2016 Standards. (Addition from Jerry by email on 3/12/19: I think Column L is the rationale/discussion and Column K shows the SIR is still active and relevant. See the PT committee's draft.)

A summary of the work done on the table today can be found in Attachment D (stopped at 246). Paul will continue to work on the table and send an update to Jessica for the April meeting.

3. Technical Manager

Jessica received information from about Technical Manager requirements from Pennsylvania. They essentially have the same language as what is in the TNI Standard. They have to update their regulations if the Standard is updated.

Dale noted that the state could have stricter requirements. Michelle commented that additional state requirements could cause problems with secondary accreditation.

Utah also adopts the Standard as the Standard. Florida essentially does the same.

Kristin noted that the NELAP AC is aware that QS will be contacting ABs for information on their Technical Manager requirements.

Jessica has the Radiochemistry and WET rough drafts for Technical Manager. She shared this information on screen with the Committee.

WET

Earl questioned how they define representative analytes. It seems very open ended. Should be organisms?

Radiochemistry

Jessica reviewed the work the Radiochemistry Expert Committee provided. Kathy and she had some email dialogue with Terry Romanko (Chair, Radiochemistry).

Ilona highlighted the use of experience transferring to hours, but it needs to be increasing experience. She also reminded everyone that this is a DRAFT and hasn't been voted on by the Radiochemistry Expert Committee yet.

Earl liked this process. They did a good job to help people continue to grow into leadership.

Shari was kind of worried as she read through this, but as she continued to read she realized that if her supervisor left ... the experience would allow people to rise to a Technical Manager position. She would like to see the example included in the Standard.

Bullet 6 – each one year of experience – make this clarification.

Jessica asked how assessors feel about these ideas:

• John G. really liked substituting some experience.

• Michelle – How do you validate the experience? They have to have a definitive process.

The Committee will continue to discuss this option. This looks like a good direction and Jessica would appreciate receiving additional feedback by phone or email.

4. Action Items

A summary of action items can be found in Attachment B.

5. New Business

None.

6. Next Meeting and Close

The next meeting will be on Monday, April 8, 2019 at 1pm Eastern. Ilona will send a Webex invitation the morning of the meeting. (Addition: The meeting date was changed to April 22, 2019.)

A summary of action items and backburner/reminder items can be found in Attachment B and C.

Jessica adjourned the meeting at 2:36pm Eastern. (Earl- motion Shari – second, unanimous approval).

Attachment A

Participants
Quality Systems Expert Committee (QS)

Member	Organization	Expiration	Representation	Email
Jessica Jensen (Chair) Present	Meridian Analytical Labs	2021	Laboratory	jessica.j@meridiantesting.com
Kristin Brown	Utah DOH	2021	Accrediting Body	kristinbrown@utah.gov
Present Lizbeth Garcia	Oregon Dept. of Environmental	2019*	Accrediting Body	LIZBETH.GARCIA@dhsoha.stat e.or.us
Absent	Quality		Body	6.01.us
Kathi Gumpper (Vice-Chair) Present – joined 2pm Eastern	ChemVal Consulting	2021*	Other	kgumpper@chemval.com
Chris Gunning	A2LA	2021	Accrediting Body	cgunning@a2la.org
Abent	5 " .	0004#		144.01
Earl Hansen	Retired	2021*	Laboratory	papaearl41@hotmail.com
Present Majohrzak	NJ DEP	2021*	Accrediting	Johna Majahrzak@dan ni gay
Jenna Majchrzak Present	NJ DEP	2021	Body	Jenna.Majchrzak@dep.nj.gov
Shari Pfalmer	ESC Lab Sciences	2021	Laboratory	spfalmer@esclabsciences.com
Present				
Dale Piechocki	Eurofins Eaton Analytical	2020	Laboratory	DalePiechocki@eurofinsUS.com
Absent	M/III D -	0000*	Other	D'II D. O. III's and II.
William Ray	William Ray Consulting	2020*	Other	Bill_Ray@williamrayllc.com
Absent Matt Sowards	ACZ Laboratories,	2020	Laboratory	MattS@acz.com
Absent	Inc.	2020	Laboratory	Matto@acz.com
Michelle Wade	Wade Consulting	2021*	Other	michelle@michellefromks.com
Present				
Alyssa Wingard	NAVSEA LQAO	2021*	Other	alyssa.wingard@navy.mil
Present				
Ilona Taunton (Program Administrator) Present	The NELAC Institute	n/a	(828)712-9242	Ilona.taunton@nelac- institute.org
Pepa Sassin (Need to finish vote.) Absent				
Amber Ross (Need to finish vote.) Absent				

Attachment B

Action Items – QS Expert Committee

			Expected	Actual
	Action Item	Who	Completion	Completion
25	Follow-up with Bob Wyeth and Jerry Parr about experience vs. course hours for Technical Directors.	Paul	TBD	
26	Provide in writing, thoughts regarding options for Technical Director approval.	Robin	TBD	
38	Continue SIR 246 and 296 discussions.	All	TBD	
40	Get PT root cause analysis example from Scott Hoatson.	Paul	8/31/17	
45	Review Ch 1 Application section for the use of "shall" and "may". Are uses correct?	Paul, Sara	11/20/17	
51	Send example of Shari's report to NELAP AC to confirm format of listing all certifications without logo's is an acceptable process to report certifications for work being done.	Shari Paul	5/11/18	
53	Look into CWEA certification requirements.	Nick Jacob	7/9/18	
56	Reach out to Marlene Moore for additional information on Class A glassware.	Paul	7/9/18	
57	Look into status on labware SIR.	Paul	7/9/18	
59	Review Milwaukee minutes and add to Parking Lot list as appropriate.	Paul/Jessica	4/8/19	
60	Send Technical Director Questions to Committee to get comments and ideas for other questions.	Jessica	3/11/19	
61				

Attachment C

Backburner / Reminders – QS Executive Committee

	Item	Meeting Reference	Comments
1	Review charter in November 2018	Ongoing	Ongoing

Attachment D: SIR Status Table with reference, question and response columns removed.

	Comment	Applicable	Applicable	Applicable	Addressed/Clarified
#	Comment	to 2003	to 2009	to 2016	in 2016 Standard
13	The 2009 and 2016 standards are virtually identical to 2003 Notes from ISO 17025 are now included but does not change the intent of the language. The SIR is still valid.	Y	Y	Y	SIR should be posted on web for future questions, but requires no clarification
16	Thi section was revised in the 2009 standard to read "Results that are reported on a basis other than as received (e. g., dry weight)." The SIR is obsolete.	Y	N	N	Obsolete and can be removed from web when 2003 Standard is no longer in use
18	No change in language in 2009 and 2016	Y	Y	Y	SIR should be posted on web for future questions, but requires no clarification
21	The 2009 standard moved this language into Module 4, but 2016 corrected this and moved it back into Module 2. The SIR is still valid.	Y	Y	Υ	SIR should be posted on web for future questions, but requires no clarification
22	This section was edited in 2009 but the SIR is still valid.	Y	Υ	Υ	Clarification should be made in next update
29		Y	Y	N	Obsolete and can be removed from web when 2009 Standard is no longer in use
30		Y	Y	Y	SIR should be posted on web for future questions, but requires no clarification
34		Y	Υ	Υ	SIR should be posted on web for future questions, but requires no clarification

#	Comment	Applicable to 2003	Applicable to 2009	Applicable to 2016	Addressed/Clarified in 2016 Standard
38	This language was moved into the technical modules in 2009 and 2016. The SIR is still valid.	Υ	Y	Υ	SIR should be posted on web for future questions, but requires no clarification
39	Although this section was slightly revised in the 2005 version of ISO 17025, the SIR is still valid.	Υ	Y	Υ	SIR should be posted on web for future questions, but requires no clarification
43	This sentence is not in the 2009 or 2016 standards. The policy still exists, but not the requirement to make it available to the client. The SIR is obsolete.	Y	N	N	Obsolete and can be removed from web when 2003 Standard is no longer in use
64	The 2009 and 2016 standards contain the identical language. The SIR is still valid. Adding timeliness requirements may only further confuse the issue and cause unintended consequences. The Committee feels no further action need be taken.	Y	Y	Y	SIR should be posted on web for future questions, but requires no clarification
66	This section was rewritten in the 2009 (and 2016) standards to state "Quality control measurement data may be used to determine analytical uncertainty." This definition was also added: "Analytical Uncertainty: A subset of Measurement Uncertainty that includes all laboratory activities performed as part of the analysis." The SIR still has relevance.	Y	Y	Y	SIR should be posted on web for future questions, but requires no clarification
70	This language is unchanged in the 2009 and 2016 standards. The SIR is still valid.	Y	Y	Y	SIR should be posted on web for future questions, but requires no clarification

#	Comment	Applicable to 2003	Applicable to 2009	Applicable to 2016	Addressed/Clarified in 2016 Standard
73	This languagae is unchanged in the 2009 and 2016 standards. The SIR is still valid.	Y	Y	Y	SIR should be posted on web for future questions, but requires no clarification
77	Althought this language was revised in the 2005 version of ISO 17025, the SIR is still valid.	Y	Y	Y	SIR should be posted on web for future questions, but requires no clarification
79	The 2009 and 2016 standards retain the requirement. The SIR is still valid	Y	Y	Y	Clarification should be made in next update
81	The response discusses the differences between 2003 and 2009 (and 2016) and is still valid.	Υ	Y	Υ	SIR should be posted on web for future questions, but requires no clarification
82	The 2009 standard contains identical language. This section was revised in the 2016 standard to allow a single point verification "if the temperature measuring device is used over a range of 10°C or less."	Υ	Y	N	Obsolete and can be removed from web when 2009 Standard is no longer in use
93	This language is unchanged in the 2009 and 2016 standards. The SIR is still valid. Another SIR relates to this question.	Υ	Υ	Υ	Clarification should be made in next update

#	Comment	Applicable to 2003	Applicable to 2009	Applicable to 2016	Addressed/Clarified in 2016 Standard
101	This language is unchanged in the 2009 and 2016 standards. The SIR is still valid. ISO 17025-2017 captures this	Y	Y	Y	Clarification should be made in next update
115	This language is unchanged in the 2009 and 2016 standards. The SIR is still valid.	Y	Y	Y	SIR should be posted on web for future questions, but requires no clarification
124	This language is unchanged in the 2009 and 2016 standards. The SIR is still valid.	Y	Y	Y	SIR should be posted on web for future questions, but requires no clarification
125		Y	Y	Y	SIR should be posted on web for future questions, but requires no clarification
144		Y	Y	Y	SIR should be posted on web for future questions, but requires no clarification
154		Y	Y	Y	SIR should be posted on web for future questions, but requires no clarification
158	This language is unchanged in the 2016 standard. The SIR is still valid. This appears to be a reflection of language used on an application form.	N	N	N	Not an SIR. This can be removed from online.

#	Comment	Applicable to 2003	Applicable to 2009	Applicable to 2016	Addressed/Clarified in 2016 Standard
180	This language is unchanged in the 2016 standard. The SIR is still valid.	N	Y	Y	SIR should be posted on web for future questions, but requires no clarification
192	This language is unchanged in the 2016 standard. The SIR is still valid.	N	Y	Y	SIR should be posted on web for future questions, but requires no clarification
198	This language is unchanged in the 2016 standard. The SIR is still valid.	N	Y	Y	SIR should be posted on web for future questions, but requires no clarification
206	This section was revised in the 2016 standard to allow a single point verification "if the temperature measuring device is used over a range of 10°C or less." The SIR is obsolete.	Y	Y	N	Obsolete and can be removed from web when 2009 Standard is no longer in use
212	This language is unchanged in the 2016 standard. The SIR is still valid. Clarification needed in next update.	N	Y	Υ	N
232		N	Y	Y	SIR should be posted on web for future questions, but requires no clarification
246	Requires clarification in next update.	N	Y	Y	N