
Voting Draft Standard

EL-V1M4 Sections 1.7.1 and 1.7.2

March 2013

Description

This proposed standard is a modification of EL-V1M4-2009-Rev1.1. The proposed changes are shown through tracking.

1.7 Technical Requirements

1.7.1 ~~Initial~~ Calibration

~~1.7.1.1 Instrument Calibration~~

This module specifies the essential elements that shall define the procedures and documentation for initial ~~instrument~~-calibration with second source verification and continuing ~~instrument~~-calibration verification to ensure that the data shall be of known quality for the intended use. This Standard does not specify detailed procedural steps (“how to”) for calibration, but establishes the essential elements for selection of the appropriate technique(s). This approach allows flexibility and permits the employment of a wide variety of analytical procedures and statistical approaches currently applicable for calibration. If more stringent standards or requirements are included in a mandated method or by regulation, the laboratory shall demonstrate that such requirements are met. If it is not apparent which Standard is more stringent, then the requirements of the regulation or mandated method are to be followed.

Calibrations may be performed at the instrumental level (analytical step only) or the method level (analytical plus preparation steps). For certain methods, such as purge and trap or head space analyses, it is not possible to separate sample preparation from the analytical step. The elements presented in this Section may be applied to either instrument or method calibrations, including those where the calibration standards are processed through the sample preparation steps.

1.7.1.1 Initial Calibration

Samples shall be associated with an acceptable initial calibration. If the initial calibration is not acceptable, corrective actions shall be performed and all associated samples re-analyzed. If re-analysis of the samples is not possible, data associated with an unacceptable initial instrument calibration shall only be reported with appropriate data qualifiers.

The following items are essential elements of initial instrument calibration:

- a) the details of the initial instrument calibration procedures including calculations, integrations, acceptance criteria and associated statistics shall be included or referenced in the method SOP. When initial instrument calibration procedures are referenced in the method, then the referenced material shall be retained by the laboratory and be available for review;
- b) sufficient raw data records shall be retained to permit reconstruction of the initial instrument calibration (e.g., calibration date, method, instrument, analysis date, each analyte name, analyst’s initials or signature; concentration and response, calibration curve or response factor; or unique equation or coefficient used to reduce instrument responses to concentration);
- c) the laboratory shall use the most recent initial calibration standard(s) analyzed prior to the analytical batch, unless otherwise specified by the method;
- d) criteria shall be established by the laboratory for the rejection of any calibration standards analyzed but not used to generate an initial calibration. The reason for the rejection of any calibration standard shall be documented and no data below the lowest or above the highest remaining calibration standard shall be quantitatively reported (see also f and g). The calibration generated from the remaining calibration standards shall satisfy all the requirements specified for initial calibrations.

- e) for regression or average response/calibration factor calibrations the minimum number of non-zero calibration standards shall be as specified in the table below. For calibrations not listed below, the number of initial calibration standards must result in at least two statistical degrees of freedom.

| <u>Type of Calibration Curve</u> | <u>Minimum number of calibration standards</u> | <u>Degrees of Freedom</u> |
|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| <u>Threshold Testing^a</u> | <u>1</u> | <u>Not Applicable</u> |
| <u>Average Response</u> | <u>3</u> | <u>2</u> |
| <u>Linear Fit</u> | <u>4</u> | <u>2</u> |
| <u>Quadratic Fit</u> | <u>5</u> | <u>2</u> |

^aThe initial one point calibration must be at the project specified threshold level.

- f) the lowest calibration standard shall be at or below the lowest concentration for which quantitative data are to be reported;
- g) the highest calibration standard shall be at or above the highest concentration for which quantitative data are to be reported;
- he) sample results shall be quantitated from the initial instrument calibration and may not be quantitated from any continuing instrument calibration verification unless otherwise required by regulation, method, or program;
- d) ~~all initial instrument calibrations shall be verified with a standard obtained from a second manufacturer or from a different lot. Traceability shall be to a national standard, when commercially available;~~
- ei) criteria for the acceptance of an initial instrument calibration shall be established (e.g., correlation coefficient or relative ~~percent difference~~ standard deviation). The criteria used shall be appropriate to the calibration technique employed;
- j) a measure of relative error in the calibration shall be used and documented for calibrations evaluated using correlation coefficient or coefficient of determination (the RSD from an average RF calibration is a sufficient measure of relative error). This analysis may be performed by either:
- i. measurement of the residual error at or near the mid-point of the initial calibration and at the lowest calibration standard. The error at these levels must be less than or equal to the maximum specified in the method. If no criterion for the lowest calibration level is specified in the method, the criterion shall be specified in the laboratory SOP. Residual error is calculated by quantitation of the calibration standards using the model (where re-quantitation is not possible, assessment may be performed by analyzing the standards at the lowest and mid-levels). Residual error is calculated using the following equation:

$$\% \text{ Residual Error} = \frac{x_i - x'_i}{x_i} \times 100$$

x_i = True value for the calibration standard

x'_i = Measured concentration of the calibration standard

or:

- ii. measurement of the Relative Standard Error (RSE). The RSE shall be less than or equal to the maximum specified in the method. If no criterion is specified in the

method, the maximum allowable RSE shall be specified in the laboratory SOP. -RSE is calculated by re-fitting the calibration data back to the model, using the following equation:

$$\% RSE = 100 \times \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{x'_i - x_i}{x_i} \right]^2 / (n - p)}$$

x_i = True value of the calibration level i.

x'_i = Measured concentration of calibration level i.

p = Number of terms in the fitting equation.

(average = 1, linear = 2, quadratic = 3).

n = Number of calibration points.

- f) ~~the lowest calibration standard shall be at or below the LOQ. Any data reported below the LOQ shall be considered to have an increased quantitative uncertainty and shall be reported using defined qualifiers or explained in the narrative;~~
- g) ~~the highest calibration standard shall be at or above the highest concentration for which quantitative data are to be reported. Any data reported above the calibration range shall be considered to have an increased quantitative uncertainty and shall be reported using defined qualifiers or explained in the narrative;~~
- hk) the following shall occur for instrument technology (such as ICP or ICP/MS) with validated techniques from manufacturers or methods employing standardization with a zero point and a single point calibration standard: when test procedures are employed that specify calibration with a single calibration standard and a zero point (blank or zero, however specified by the method), the following shall occur:
- i. Prior to the analysis of samples, the zero point and single point calibration standard shall be analyzed and the linear range of the instrument shall be established by analyzing a series of standards, one of which shall be at or below the LOQ. Sample results within the established linear range will not require data qualifiers. Prior to calibration, the laboratory desired linear calibration range of the instrument shall be established by analyzing a series of standards, one of which shall be at or below the LOQ. To establish linearity, the requirements for a linear fit multi-point calibration included in this section (specifically 1.7.1.1 i) and j)) shall be met. Linearity must be established annually and checked at least quarterly with a standard at the top of the linear calibration range, or at the frequency defined by the method.
 - ii. A zero point and single point calibration standard shall be analyzed with each analytical batch. The zero point and single calibration standard within the linear calibration range shall be analyzed with each analytical batch and used to establish the slope of the calibration.
 - iii. A standard corresponding to the limit of quantitation shall be analyzed with each analytical batch and shall meet the established acceptance criteria. To verify adequate sensitivity a standard shall be analyzed at or below the lowest concentration for which quantitative data are to be reported. This standard shall be analyzed prior to sample analysis with each calibration and shall meet the criteria

established by the method. If no criteria exist the laboratory shall specify criteria in the SOP.

- iv. The linearity is verified at a frequency established by the method and/or the manufacturer. Sample results within the established linear calibration range will not require data qualifiers. Samples with results above the linear calibration range must be diluted, or the over-range results qualified as estimated values.
 - i) ~~If the initial instrument calibration results are outside established acceptance criteria, corrective actions shall be performed and all associated samples re-analyzed. If re-analysis of the samples is not possible, data associated with an unacceptable initial instrument calibration shall be reported with appropriate data qualifiers, and~~
 - j) ~~if a reference or mandated method does not specify the number of calibration standards, the minimum number of points for establishing the initial instrument calibration shall be three.~~
 - l) for multi-peak analytes (e.g., Arochlors, technical chlordane, toxaphene) using a linear through the origin model (or average response factor) it is acceptable to perform an initial multi-point calibration for a subset of analytes (e.g., Arochlors 1016/1260 in PCB analysis) and to use a one-point initial calibration to determine the calibration factor and pattern recognition for the remaining analytes;
 - m) initial calibration verification: all initial instrument calibrations shall be verified with a standard obtained from a second manufacturer or from a different lot. Traceability shall be to a national standard, when commercially available;
 - n) for those methods with more than 10 analytes where:
 - i) the calibration criteria and/or initial verification criteria fail marginally and;
 - ii) a successful calibration sensitivity check determination as described below has been performed;

non-detect sample results may be reported without qualification for initial calibration failure. The demonstration of sensitivity shall be the successful detection of the analyte(s) in the lowest calibration standard (at or below the LOQ) and meeting all identification criteria specified in the method or the SOP. Marginal failure is defined as:

| <u>Criterion</u> | <u>Allowed exceedance</u> | <u>Example</u> |
|--|---------------------------|--|
| <u>%RSD or RSE</u> | <u>10%</u> | <u>30% if the criterion is 20%</u> |
| <u>% Difference, Drift or Recovery</u> | <u>10%</u> | <u>30% if the criterion is 20%</u> |
| <u>Correlation coefficient or coefficient of determination</u> | <u>0.01</u> | <u>0.980 if the criterion is 0.990</u> |

1.7.2 Continuing Calibration Verification

~~When an initial instrument calibration is not performed on the day of analysis, t~~The validity of the initial calibration shall be verified prior to sample analyses by a continuing instrument calibration verification with each analytical batch. The following items are essential elements of continuing instrument calibration verification.

- a) The details of the continuing instrument calibration procedure, calculations and associated statistics shall be included or referenced in the method SOP.

- b) Calibration shall be verified for each compound, element, or other discrete chemical species, except for multi-component analytes such as ~~areelers~~Aroclors, chlordane, total petroleum hydrocarbons, or toxaphene, where a representative chemical, related substance or mixture can be used.
- ~~c) The concentration of the calibration verification standard shall be equal to or less than the mid-point of the calibration range (as determined by the average of the highest and lowest calibration standard).~~
- ed) Instrument continuing calibration verification shall be performed at the beginning and end of each analytical batch, and at the frequency defined in the method except:
- i. ~~at the beginning and end of each analytical batch.~~ If an internal standard is used, only one calibration verification ~~needs to shall~~ be performed at the beginning of ~~the each~~ analytical batch, and at the frequency defined in the method;
 - ii. ~~if when~~ the defined time period for calibration or the most recent calibration verification has expired; ~~or~~
 - iii. ~~for analytical systems that contain a calibration verification requirement.~~ an instrument calibration verification (second source calibration verification) that passes the continuing calibration verification criteria may be used in place of a continuing calibration verification standard.
 - iv. a laboratory control sample (LCS) may be used in place of a continuing calibration verification for methods where the calibration goes through the same process as the LCS (using the continuing calibration verification limits).
- de) Sufficient raw data records shall be retained to permit reconstruction of the continuing instrument calibration verification (e.g., method, instrument, analysis date, each analyte name, concentration and response, calibration curve or response factor, or unique equations or coefficients used to convert instrument responses into concentrations). Continuing calibration verification records shall explicitly connect the continuing verification data to the initial instrument calibration.
- ef) Criteria for the acceptance of a continuing instrument calibration verification shall be established. If the continuing instrument calibration verification results obtained are outside the established acceptance criteria ~~and analysis of a second consecutive (immediate) calibration verification fails to produce results within acceptance criteria~~, corrective actions shall be performed. The laboratory shall demonstrate acceptable performance after corrective action with two consecutive calibration verifications, or a new initial instrument calibration shall be performed. If the laboratory has not verified calibration, sample analyses may not occur until the analytical system is calibrated or calibration verified. If samples are analyzed using a system on which the calibration has not yet been verified the results shall be ~~flagged~~qualified. Data associated with an unacceptable calibration verification may be fully useable under the following special conditions:
- i. when the acceptance criteria for the continuing calibration verification are exceeded high (i.e., high bias) and there are associated samples that are non-detects, then those non-detects may be reported without qualification for a continuing calibration verification failure. ~~Otherwise the samples affected by the unacceptable calibration verification shall be re-analyzed after a new calibration curve has been established, evaluated and accepted;~~ or

- ii. when the acceptance criteria for the continuing calibration verification are exceeded low (i.e., low bias), those sample results may be reported if they exceed a maximum regulatory limit/decision level. Otherwise the samples affected by the unacceptable verification shall be re-analyzed after a new calibration curve has been established, evaluated and accepted for methods with more than 10 analytes, non-detected analytes that marginally fail the continuing calibration verification low may be reported without qualification for a continuing calibration verification failure if a successful demonstration of adequate sensitivity (see section n of the Initial Calibration section for criteria and reporting) has been performed within the same analytical batch. For methods that require bracketing continuing calibration verification standards, successful bracketing demonstrations of sensitivity are also required. Otherwise the samples affected by the unacceptable continuing calibration verification shall be qualified or re-analyzed.