



1
2
3
4 **ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY SECTOR**
5
6 **WORKING DRAFT STANDARD (WDS)**
7

8 This WDS is a proposed revision of the 2012 Standard (EL-V1M6-2012).
9 It has been prepared by the Radiochemistry Expert Committee. It will be
10 presented to the membership and the public for discussion and input.
11

12 **Note:** There were numerous changes and additions to this Standard so
13 a clean copy is presented to improve readability. Contact Ilona Taunton
14 (Ilona.taunton@nelac-institute.org) if you want a copy where tracking
15 shows proposed changes from the 2012 Standard (EL-V1M6-2012).
16

17 **VOLUME 1**
18

19 **MANAGEMENT AND TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS**
20 **FOR LABORATORIES PERFORMING**
21 **ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS**
22

23 **Module 6: Quality Systems for Radiochemical Testing**
24

25 **TNI Standard**
26

27
28 **P.O. Box 2439**
29 **Weatherford, TX 76086**
30 **817-598-1624**
31 **www.nelac-institute.org**
32
33

This page intentionally left blank.

PREFACE

This Standard is the result of many hours of effort by those volunteers on The NELAC Institute (TNI) Quality Systems Committee and Radiochemistry Expert Committee. The TNI Board of Directors wishes to thank these committee members for their efforts in preparing this Standard as well as those TNI members who offered comments during the voting process.

This Standard supplements Module 2, Quality Systems General Requirements, and may be used by any organization that wishes to implement a program for the accreditation of environmental laboratories.

Standard Revision History

Action	Date
Working Draft Standard Published	January 14, 2007
Voting Draft Standard Published	June 15, 2007
Draft Interim Standard Published	December 15, 2007
Approved by Quality Systems Committee	December 22, 2007
Modified by Editorial Changes	March 12, 2009
Modified by Tentative Interim Amendments	June 15, 2009
Adopted by NELAP Board	September 8, 2009
Scheduled for Implementation by NELAP	July 1, 2011
Working Draft Standard Published	May 30, 2014

This page intentionally left blank.

VOLUME 1, MODULE 6**Quality Systems for Radiochemical Testing**

Table of Contents

1.0	RADIOCHEMICAL TESTING	1
1.1	Introduction	1
1.2	Scope	1
1.3	Terms and Definitions	1
1.3.1	Additional Terms and Definitions	1
1.3.2	Exclusions and Exceptions	3
1.4	Method Selection	3
1.5	Method Validation	3
1.5.1	Validation of Methods	3
1.5.2	Detection Capability	3
1.5.3	Evaluation of Precision and Bias	4
1.5.4	Measurement Uncertainty	5
1.5.5	Evaluation of Selectivity	6
1.6	Demonstration of Capability (DOC)	6
1.6.1	General	6
1.6.2	Initial DOC	6
1.6.3	Ongoing DOC	7
1.7	Technical Requirements	8
1.7.1	Instrument Set-up, Calibration, Performance Checks, and Background Measurements	8
1.7.2	Quality Control for Radiochemistry	15
1.7.3	Data Evaluation and Reporting	21
1.7.4	Sample Handling	23

This page intentionally left blank.

VOLUME 1, MODULE 6

Quality Systems for Radiochemical Testing

1.0 RADIOCHEMICAL TESTING

1.1 Introduction

This Standard contains detailed quality assurance and quality control requirements for environmental testing activities involving radiochemical measurements. The evaluation of laboratories for this discipline is in conjunction with a quality system as specified in the general requirements module. Adherence to quality systems requirements will ensure that all quality control procedures specified in this module are being followed.

1.2 Scope

Essential quality assurance and quality control requirements for laboratories undertaking the examination of environmental samples by radiochemical analysis are defined in this Standard. Radioanalytical determinations involve detection of the radioactive emissions of the analyte (or indicative decay progeny) and tracer isotopes, often following their chemical separation from the sample matrix.

This Standard employs terms, definitions, and requirements from other documents, such as the Safe Drinking Water Act¹, Clean Water Act², or the Multi-Agency Radiological Laboratory Analytical Protocols (MARLAP) Manual³. Additional quality assurance and quality control requirements as indicated in a method, regulation, or contract, or as established in the laboratory's quality management plan (if there are no established mandatory criteria), shall also be applicable and met by laboratories.

1.3 Terms and Definitions

The relevant definitions from TNI, Volume 1, Module 2, Section 3.0 apply. Definitions related to this document, which are used differently or do not exist in the above references are defined below.

1.3.1 Additional Terms and Definitions

Measurement Quality Objective (MQO): The analytical data requirements of the data quality objectives are project- or program-specific and can be quantitative or qualitative. Measurement quality objectives are measurement performance criteria or objectives of the analytical process. Examples of quantitative MQOs include statements of required analyte detectability and the uncertainty of the analytical protocol at a specified radionuclide activity, such as the action level. Examples of qualitative MQOs include statements of the required specificity of the analytical protocol, e.g., the ability to analyze for the radionuclide of interest given the presence of interferences.

¹ 42 U.S.C. §300f et seq. (1974), see <http://www2.epa.gov/laws-regulations/summary-safe-drinking-water-act>.

² 33 U.S.C. §1251 et seq. (1972), see <http://www2.epa.gov/laws-regulations/summary-clean-water-act>.

³ Multi-Agency Radiological Laboratory Analytical Protocols Manual (MARLAP). 2004. EPA 402-B-04-001A, July. Available at: www.epa.gov/radiation/marlap.

47 **Activity, Absolute:** Rate of nuclear decay occurring in a body of material, equal to the number of
48 nuclear disintegrations per unit time.

49 **Note:** Activity (absolute) may be expressed in becquerels (Bq), curies (Ci), disintegrations per
50 minute, or multiples or submultiples of these units.

51 **Activity, Areic:** Quotient of the activity of a body of material and its associated area.

52
53 **Activity, Massic:** Quotient of the activity of a body of material and its mass; also called specific
54 activity.

55
56 **Activity, Volumic:** Quotient of the activity of a body of material and its volume; also called activity
57 concentration.

58 **Note:** In this module, unless otherwise stated, references to activity shall include absolute
59 activity, areic activity, massic activity, and volumic activity.

60 **Batch, Analytical:** For Module 6, Radiochemical Testing, the analytical batch is reserved for
61 processes that do not involve physical or chemical processing that affects the outcome of the test
62 (e.g., non-destructive gamma spectrometry, or alpha/beta counting of air filters or swipes on gas
63 proportional detectors). The analytical batch is composed of one (1) to twenty (20) environmental
64 samples that share similar characteristics and analytical configurations (e.g., analytes, geometry,
65 calibration, and background corrections) and/or analyzed together using the same process. The
66 maximum time between the start of processing of the first and last sample in the batch is fourteen
67 (14) days.

68
69 **Batch, Preparation:** A preparation batch is composed of one (1) to twenty (20) environmental
70 samples of the same quality systems matrix that are prepared and/or analyzed together with the
71 same process and personnel, using the same lot(s) of reagents, with a maximum time between the
72 start of processing of the first and last sample in the batch to be twenty-four (24) hours.

73
74 **Critical Value:** Value to which a measurement result is compared to make a detection decision
75 (also known as critical level or decision level).

76
77 **Note:** The critical value is designed to give a specified low probability α of false detection in an
78 analyte-free sample, which implies that a result that exceeds the critical value gives high confidence
79 ($1 - \alpha$) that the radionuclide is actually present in the material analyzed. For radiometric methods α
80 is often set at 0.05.

81
82 **Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA):** Estimate of the smallest true activity that ensures a
83 specified high confidence, $1 - \beta$, of detection above the critical value, and a low probability β of
84 false negatives below the critical value. For radiometric methods β is often set at 0.05.

85 **Note 1:** The MDA is a measure of the detection capability of a measurement process, and as
86 such it is an *a priori* concept. It may be used in the selection of methods to meet specified MQOs.
87 Laboratories may also calculate a "sample-specific" MDA, which indicates how well the
88 measurement process is performing under varying real-world measurement conditions, when
89 sample-specific characteristics (e.g., interferences) may affect the detection capability. However,
90 the MDA must never be used instead of the critical value as a detection threshold.

91 **Note 2:** For the purpose of this Standard, the terms MDA and minimum detectable concentration
92 (MDC) are equivalent.

93
94 **Detection Limit (DL) for Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) Compliance:** Laboratories that
95 analyze drinking-water samples for SDWA compliance monitoring must use methods that provide
96 sufficient detection capability to meet the detection limit requirements established in 40 CFR 141.
97 The SDWA DL for radioactivity is defined in 40 CFR Part 141.25(c) as the radionuclide

98 concentration, which can be counted with a precision of plus or minus 100% at the 95% confidence
99 level (1.96σ where σ is the standard deviation of the net counting rate of the sample).

100
101 **Test Source:** A radioactive source that is tested, such as a sample, calibration standard, or
102 performance check source. A test source may also be free of radioactivity, such as a test source
103 counted to determine the subtraction background, or a short-term background check.

104 105 1.3.2 Exclusions and Exceptions

106
107 The elements of this module apply to techniques used for the purpose of measuring or monitoring
108 radioactivity, or techniques used to demonstrate compliance with regulations pertaining to
109 radioactivity. The laboratory may choose to comply with corresponding sections of Module 4 in
110 cases where technique-specific QA/QC is not defined by Module 6 (e.g. Mass Spectrometry [ICP-
111 MS, TIMS] or Kinetic Phosphorimetry), or by the respective reference method (e.g., calibrations,
112 calibration verifications, determinations of detection statistics, or method-specific quality controls).
113 The laboratory must identify in their quality management plan how and when they are complying
114 with the requirements and elements of Module 4 and Module 6, as applicable.

115 116 1.4 Method Selection

117
118 Refer to Volume 1, Module 2, Sections 5.4.2, 5.4.3, and 5.4.4.

119 120 1.5 Method Validation

121 122 1.5.1 Validation of Methods

- 123
124 a) Prior to their acceptance and institution, methods for which data will be reported shall be
125 validated across the range of activities that will be encountered in samples. Where applicable,
126 the activity range shall include zero activity.
127
128 b) The laboratory shall validate the method in each quality system matrix for which it is applicable
129 by demonstrating the method's detection capability, precision and bias, measurement
130 uncertainty, and selectivity using the procedures specified in Sections 1.5.2 through 1.5.5.
131
132 c) The laboratory shall perform validation for each method for which documented data is not
133 available to demonstrate that the above requirements are met. For reference methods,
134 published data, if available, may be used to satisfy these requirements.
135
136 d) For all methods, the validation must comply with Volume 1, Module 2, Sections 5.4.5.1 through
137 5.4.5.3.
138
139 e) The laboratory shall document the results obtained, the procedure used for the validation, and
140 a statement as to whether the method is fit for the intended use.
141
142 f) The laboratory shall analyze for all methods, whenever available, externally produced quality
143 control samples from a nationally or internationally recognized source (i.e., a national metrology
144 institute, accredited TNI PT or ISO 17043 provider, or from an ANSI N42.22 compliant
145 commercial vendor). The laboratory shall evaluate the results of these analyses on an ongoing
146 basis to determine its ability to produce acceptable data.

147 148 1.5.2 Detection Capability

- 149
150 a) The laboratory shall establish the detection capability for each method/matrix combination.
151 Detection capability may refer to the critical value, Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA), or
152 SDWA DL (terms defined in Section 1.3.1).

- 153
154 b) The laboratory shall document the procedure used to determine the detection capability.
155
156 c) The laboratory shall record the quality system matrix used in the initial method validation and
157 retain all supporting documentation for the initial study in a readily retrievable format for the
158 lifetime of the method.
159
160 d) The procedure a laboratory uses to determine the detection capability of a method must comply
161 with the specific requirements of Volume 1, Module 6, Sections 1.5.2.1 and 1.5.2.2.
162
163 e) Method validation documentation shall include identification of software used for detection
164 capability calculations and the software must conform to the requirements in Volume 1, Module
165 2, Section 5.4.7.2.
166
- 167 1.5.2.1 Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA) (see definition in Volume 1, Module 6, Section 1.3.1)
168
169 The laboratory shall utilize a method that is capable of providing an MDA that is appropriate and
170 relevant for the intended use of the data (see Volume 1, Module 2, Section 4.4). The laboratory
171 shall determine MDAs using the protocol specified in mandated methods. If no protocol is specified,
172 the laboratory shall select a procedure that reflects instrument limitations and the intended
173 application of the method.
174
175 a) Unless specified otherwise in the mandated method protocols, the laboratory shall include all
176 sample-processing steps of the analytical method in the determination of detection capability.
177
178 b) The laboratory shall initially determine the detection capability of each method for the analytes
179 of interest in each method in a quality system matrix free of target analytes and interferences at
180 levels that would impact the results.
181
182 c) The laboratory shall determine the detection capability each time there is a change in the test
183 method, or when there is a change in instrumentation, that affects the analytical detection
184 capability.
185
- 186 1.5.2.2 Required Detection Limit for Drinking Water Compliance (see definition in Section 1.3.1)
187
188 Laboratories performing radiochemical testing of drinking-water samples for Safe Drinking Water
189 Act (SDWA) compliance monitoring shall meet the requirements of 40 CFR 141.25(c). These
190 laboratories shall use only approved methods that provide sufficient detection capability to meet the
191 detection limit requirements established in 40 CFR 141.25(c). The detection capability shall be
192 expressed in terms of the detection limit (DL) as defined in Section 1.3.1 instead of Method
193 Detection Limit (MDL) as defined in 40 CFR Part 136, Appendix B.
194
- 195 1.5.3 Evaluation of Precision and Bias
196
197 The laboratory shall compare results of precision and bias measurements determined during
198 validation with criteria established by method, regulation, or contract, or as established in the
199 laboratory's quality management plan (if there are no established mandatory criteria).
200
201 a) The laboratory shall utilize a method that provides precision and bias data for each of the
202 analytes of interest that is appropriate and relevant for the intended use of the data (see
203 Volume 1, Module 2, Section 4.4). Precision and bias shall be characterized across the range
204 of activities that brackets those applicable in samples, including zero activity.
205

- 206 b) The laboratory shall process the validation samples through the entire measurement system for
207 each analyte of interest and shall evaluate precision and bias in each relevant quality system
208 matrix.
209
- 210 c) The laboratory shall determine the precision and bias of a method each time there is a change
211 in the test method that affects the performance of the method, or when a change in
212 instrumentation occurs that affects the precision and bias.
213
- 214 d) Where there are no established criteria, the laboratory shall develop acceptance criteria for
215 precision and bias based on one or more of the following:
- 216 i) Intended use of the data
- 217 ii) Applicable regulations
- 218 iii) Guidelines established in publications such as MARLAP. The Forum on Environmental
219 Measurements *Validation and Peer Review of U.S. Environmental Protection Agency*
220 *Radiochemical Methods of Analysis*, and/or *The Fitness for Purpose of Analytical Methods,*
221 *A Laboratory Guide to Method Validation and Related Topics*⁴.
222
- 223 1.5.4 Measurement Uncertainty
- 224
- 225 a) Each measurement result shall be reported with an estimate of its uncertainty expressed either
226 as an estimated standard deviation (i.e., a standard uncertainty) or a multiple thereof (i.e., an
227 expanded uncertainty).
228
- 229 i) Although the reported uncertainty should generally be an estimate of the total uncertainty of
230 the measurement, for purposes of compliance with the Safe Drinking Water Act, or to
231 comply with specific requirements established by method, regulation, or contract, or as
232 established in the laboratory's quality management plan (if there are no established
233 mandatory criteria), laboratories may report the counting uncertainty as specified in the
234 appropriate method, regulation or contract, and as documented in the laboratory SOP. All
235 other radiochemical measurements shall be reported with an estimate of the total
236 uncertainty of the measured result.
- 237 ii) Total uncertainty shall be documented in the laboratory's procedures or quality
238 management program consistent with BIPM JCGM 100:2008: Guide to the Expression of
239 Uncertainty in Measurement (GUM), the recommendations in the Multi-Agency Radiological
240 Laboratory Analytical Protocols Manual Chapter 19 (MARLAP, Volume II, EPA 402-B-04-
241 001B, July 2004), or other equivalent approaches.
242
- 243 b) The report shall clearly specify the type of uncertainty reported. The report shall:
- 244
- 245 i) express the uncertainty in the same unit of measurement as the measurement result
246 unless the report clearly states otherwise;
- 247 ii) indicate whether the uncertainty is a total uncertainty or counting uncertainty;
- 248 iii) indicate whether the uncertainty is the standard uncertainty (i.e., "one-sigma") or an
249 expanded uncertainty (e.g., "k-sigma"); and
- 250 iv) for expanded uncertainties, indicate the coverage factor (k) or the level of confidence.
251

⁴ EURACHEM Guide. 1998. *The Fitness for Purpose of Analytical Methods, A Laboratory Guide to Method Validation and Related Topics*. Available at: <http://www.eurachem.org/>.

- 252 c) The results of the precision evaluation in Section 1.5.3 shall be compared to the uncertainty
253 estimates as a check on the validity of the uncertainty evaluation procedures. The
254 experimentally observed standard deviation at any testing level shall not be statistically greater
255 than the maximum combined standard uncertainty of the measurement results at that level,
256 although it may be somewhat less. If the experimentally observed standard deviation at each
257 testing level statistically exceeds the combined standard uncertainty, then the uncertainty
258 estimate should be re-evaluated.
259
- 260 1.5.5 Evaluation of Selectivity
- 261
- 262 a) The laboratory shall qualitatively evaluate selectivity, if applicable, by addressing the following
263 sample and matrix characteristics:
- 264
- 265 i) the effect of matrix composition on the ability of the method to detect analyte;
- 266 ii) the ability of the method to chemically separate the analyte from the interfering analytes;
267 and
- 268 iii) spectral and instrumental interferences.
- 269
- 270 b) The evaluation of selectivity may be accomplished by testing matrix blanks, spiked matrix
271 blanks, worst-case samples, or certified reference materials. If applicable, a qualitative
272 selectivity statement shall be included in the SOP.
273
- 274 **1.6 Demonstration of Capability (DOC)**
- 275
- 276 1.6.1 General
- 277
- 278 a) An individual who performs any activity involved with preparation and/or analysis of samples
279 must have constant, close supervision until a satisfactory initial DOC is completed (see Section
280 1.6.2).
- 281
- 282 b) Thereafter, ongoing DOC (Section 1.6.3) is required.
283
- 284 c) In cases where an individual has prepared and/or analyzed samples using a method that has
285 been in use by the laboratory for at least one year prior to applying for accreditation, and there
286 have been no significant changes in instrument type or method, the ongoing DOC shall be
287 acceptable as an initial DOC. The laboratory shall have records on file to demonstrate that an
288 initial DOC is not required.
289
- 290 d) All demonstrations of capability shall be documented. All data applicable to the demonstrations
291 shall be retained and readily available at the laboratory.
292
- 293 1.6.2 Initial DOC
- 294
- 295 An initial DOC shall be made prior to using any method and at any time there is a change in
296 instrument type, personnel or method; or any time that a method has not been performed by the
297 laboratory or analyst in a twelve (12) month period.
298
- 299 1.6.2.1 The laboratory shall document each initial DOC in a manner such that the following information is
300 readily available for each affected employee:
- 301
- 302 a) analyst(s) involved in preparation and/or analysis;
- 303
- 304 b) matrix;
305

- 306 c) analyte(s), class of analyte(s), or measured parameter(s);
307
308 d) identification of method(s) performed;
309
310 e) identification of laboratory-specific SOP used for analysis, including revision number;
311
312 f) date(s) of analysis;
313
314 g) summary of analyses, including information outlined in Section 1.6.2.2.
315
- 316 1.6.2.2 If the method, regulation or contract does not specify an initial DOC, the following procedure is
317 acceptable. It is the responsibility of the laboratory to document that other approaches to initial
318 DOC are adequate.
319
- 320 a) The analyte(s) shall be diluted in a volume of clean quality system matrix (a sample in which no
321 target analytes or interferences are present at activities that will impact the results of a specific
322 method) sufficient to prepare four (4) aliquots at a laboratory specified activity. The analyst shall
323 also prepare four (4) blank samples of clean quality system matrix in which no target analytes
324 or interferences are present at activities that will impact the results of a specific method.
325
- 326 b) Where gamma-ray spectrometry is used to identify and quantify more than one analyte, the
327 laboratory control sample shall contain gamma-emitting radionuclides that represent the low
328 (e.g., ²⁴¹Am), medium (e.g., ¹³⁷Cs) and high (e.g., ⁶⁰Co) energy range of the analyzed gamma-
329 ray spectra. As indicated by these examples, the nuclides need not exactly bracket the
330 calibrated energy range or the range over which nuclides are identified and quantified.
331
- 332 c) The samples shall be prepared and analyzed according to the method.
333
- 334 d) Using all of the results, calculate the mean recovery of the spiked samples and the blank
335 results in the appropriate reporting units and the standard deviations of the population sample
336 (in the same units) for each parameter of interest. When it is not possible to determine mean
337 and standard deviations, such as for presence/absence and logarithmic values, the laboratory
338 shall assess performance against established and documented criteria.
339
- 340 e) Compare the information from (d) above to the corresponding acceptance criteria for precision
341 and accuracy specified by method, regulation, or contract, or as established in the laboratory's
342 quality management plan (if there are no established mandatory criteria). If all parameters meet
343 the acceptance criteria, the analysis of field samples may begin.
344
- 345 f) When one or more of the tested parameters fail at least one of the acceptance criteria, repeat
346 the test for the parameters that exceed acceptance criteria. If test results fall outside
347 acceptance criteria again, this confirms there is a general problem with the method and or
348 measurement system. If this occurs, locate and correct the source of the problem and repeat
349 the test for all parameters of interest.
350
- 351 g) When an analyte not currently found on the laboratory's list of accredited analytes is added to
352 an existing accredited method, an initial DOC shall be performed for that analyte. When
353 analytes are added to gamma-ray spectrometry, this is not required.
354
- 355 1.6.3 Ongoing DOC
356
- 357 1.6.3.1 The laboratory shall have a documented procedure describing ongoing DOC that includes
358 procedures for how the laboratory will identify data associated with ongoing DOCs. The analyst(s)
359 shall demonstrate ongoing capability by routinely meeting the quality control requirements specified
360 by the method, regulation, or contract, or as established this Standard and the laboratory's quality

361 management plan (if there are no established mandatory criteria). If the method has not been
362 performed by the analyst in a twelve (12) month period, an initial DOC (1.6.2) shall be performed. It
363 is the responsibility of the laboratory to document that other approaches to ongoing DOC are
364 adequate.
365

366 1.6.3.2 This on-going demonstration may include one of the following:

- 367
- 368 a) acceptable performance of blank and samples single blind to the analyst;
 - 369
 - 370 b) another initial DOC;
 - 371
 - 372 c) at least four (4) consecutive spiked samples (e.g., batch laboratory control samples) each with
373 levels of precision and accuracy consistent with those specified in the method scope; and four
374 consecutive blank samples, each with activity consistent method performance specified in the
375 method scope (e.g., generally activity less than critical value). The laboratory shall tabulate or
376 be able to readily retrieve four (4) consecutive passing LCS and four (4) consecutive blank
377 samples for each method for each analyst each year. The laboratory shall specify acceptable
378 limits for precision and accuracy prior to analysis.
379
 - 380 d) a documented process of reviewing ongoing QC samples by an analyst or a predefined group
381 of analysts relative to the quality control requirements specified by the method, regulation, or
382 contract, or as established this Standard and the laboratory's quality management plan (if there
383 are no established mandatory criteria). This review should be used to identify patterns for
384 individuals or groups of analysts and identify the need for corrective action or retraining as
385 necessary;
386
 - 387 e) if a) through d) are not technically feasible, then analysis of real-world samples with results
388 within predefined acceptance criteria (as defined by the laboratory or method) shall be
389 performed.
390

391 1.7 Technical Requirements

392 393 1.7.1 Instrument Set-up, Calibration, Performance Checks, and Background Measurements⁵

394
395 This section addresses requirements for the proper set-up, calibration, calibration verification, and
396 instrument performance checks of radiation measurement systems, as well as the requirements for
397 subtraction background measurements and short-term background checks.
398

399 These requirements ensure that the measurements will be of known and appropriate quality for
400 meeting regulatory and contractual requirements and for supporting decision making. This section
401 does not specify detailed procedural steps for these operations, but establishes essential elements
402 for selection of the appropriate technique(s). This allows flexibility and permits employment of a
403 wide variety of analytical procedures and statistical approaches.
404

405 At a minimum the instrument quality control program shall incorporate requirements imposed by the
406 method, regulation, contract, or this Standard. Where imposed regulations are more stringent than
407 this Standard, the imposed regulations take precedence (see Volume I, Module 2, Section 5.9.3.c).
408 If it is not apparent which Standard is more stringent, the laboratory shall follow the requirements of
409 the regulation or the method in that order. Where there are no established requirements the
410 laboratory shall incorporate guidelines established in MARLAP or other consensus standard
411 organizations.

⁵One approach that addresses in detail all elements of this Section is presented by ASTM International Standard Practice D7282, Set-up, Calibration, and Quality Control of Instruments Used for Radioactivity Measurements.

- 412
413 1.7.1.1 Initial Set-up of Instrumentation
414
415 a) The laboratory shall maintain the required radiation measurement systems for each method it
416 performs. The laboratory shall set-up radiation measurement systems to produce consistent,
417 comparable results across multiple detectors used for a common method. The laboratory shall
418 establish the configuration and operating parameters for each radiation measurement system
419 used consistent with the method requirements.
420
421 b) The laboratory shall document radiation measurement system configuration and maintainable
422 values for hardware- and software-related operational parameters prior to initial calibration. If a
423 specific method or application requires that system configuration or operational parameters
424 deviate from the manufacturer recommended specifications, the laboratory shall identify the
425 modifications and document the rationale for such changes.
426
427 c) The laboratory shall periodically verify user-maintainable values for operational parameters to
428 ensure their consistency with values recorded at the time of initial calibration to ensure the
429 continued integrity of system configuration. If system configuration or operating parameters
430 have changed, the laboratory shall perform corrective actions to determine and ameliorate any
431 potential impact of the changes.
432
- 433 1.7.1.2 Initial Calibration
434
435 This section specifies the essential elements that define the procedures and documentation for
436 initial calibration of radiation measurement systems.
437
- 438 a) Radiation measurement systems are subject to calibration prior to initial use and any time the
439 following conditions occur:
- 440 i) following replacement of a key detector element (e.g., a photomultiplier tube, silicon barrier
441 detector, gas proportional detector chamber, germanium crystal, etc.);
 - 442 ii) after a repair when subsequent performance checks indicate a change in performance;
 - 443 iii) after modification of system parameters that affect instrument response;
 - 444 iv) when instrument performance checks exceed predetermined acceptance criteria (i.e., limit
445 of a statistical or tolerance control chart or other QC parameters) indicating a change in
446 instrument response since the initial calibration;
 - 447 v) when indicated by corrective actions;
 - 448 vi) when calibration is due according to a predetermined frequency.
- 449
450 The laboratory shall document the criteria that initiate (re)calibration in its SOPs.
451
- 452 b) Given that the instrument detection efficiency is linear with respect to count rate at all but the
453 highest activity levels (i.e., where detection system dead time becomes significant), calibration
454 curves with standards of varying activity need not be performed for radiometric techniques.
455 Multiple-point calibration curves correlating other parameters (e.g., mass-efficiency, or channel-
456 energy) may be required for some methods. Several examples include:
- 457 i) energy-efficiency calibration of gamma spectrometers;
 - 458 ii) mass-efficiency (mass-attenuation) calibration of gas-flow proportional or x-ray detectors;
 - 459 iii) quench-efficiency calibration of liquid scintillation detectors;
 - 460

- 461 iv) mass-crosstalk calibration of gas-flow proportional and quench-crosstalk calibration of
462 liquid scintillation detectors.
463
- 464 c) The laboratory shall base instrument calibrations on physical measurement of reference
465 standards as defined in Section 1.7.2.6.c). These standards shall have general physical
466 characteristics (i.e., geometry, density, composition, nuclear decay properties, etc.) that match
467 as closely as possible those of the samples to which the calibration will be applied.
468
- 469 d) In some cases, calibration standard characteristics do not exactly match sample characteristics.
470 The laboratory may use empirical techniques (e.g., gamma transmission) and/or computational
471 techniques (e.g., Monte Carlo or efficiency modeling techniques) to generate corrections that
472 are applied to calibrations performed with reference standards to account for minor differences
473 between the physical characteristics of the calibration standard (i.e., geometry, density,
474 coincidence-summing, etc.) and the samples to which the correction is to be applied, if:
- 475 i) the laboratory has performed a documented validation of the correction method or model
476 by physical measurement of reference standards as defined in Section 1.7.2.6.c). The
477 validation shall span the entire range of physical characteristics observed in samples to
478 which the correction shall be applied (i.e., geometry, density, etc.); and
- 479 ii) the applied correction consistently minimizes measurement bias across the range of
480 physical characteristics; and
- 481 iii) the laboratory has estimated and validated the uncertainty associated with the correction
482 (see 1.5.4.c and 1.5.4.d) and included it in the uncertainty reported with each associated
483 sample result.
484
- 485 e) The following items are essential elements of initial instrument calibration:
486
- 487 i) The laboratory shall establish and document in method SOPs and in records the details of
488 the initial instrument calibration. Details shall, at minimum, include:
489
- 490 1. the type of calibrations to be performed;
 - 491 2. the number of calibration points required;
 - 492 3. a description of the calibration standards required;
 - 493 4. the preparation of the calibration standards;
 - 494 5. the counting of the calibration standards;
 - 495 6. the maximum permissible uncertainty for calibration measurements (e.g., a maximum
496 relative combined uncertainty of the calibration parameter or a minimum number of
497 counts collected);
 - 498 7. all calculations.
499
- 500 ii) The laboratory shall establish criteria, appropriate to the calibration technique, for the
501 acceptance of an initial instrument calibration in the method SOPs.
- 502 iii) If the initial instrument calibration results are outside established acceptance criteria, the
503 laboratory shall perform corrective actions. The laboratory shall re-analyze any samples
504 processed using this calibration, or, if not possible, report the results with qualifiers.
- 505 iv) The laboratory shall retain sufficient raw data records to permit reconstruction of the initial
506 instrument calibration.
507
- 508 f) The laboratory shall quantitate sample results only from the initial instrument calibrations unless
509 otherwise allowed by regulation, method, or contract.
510

511 1.7.1.3 Calibration Verification
512

- 513 a) Prior to use of an initial calibration for analysis of samples, the laboratory shall verify the initial
514 instrument calibration with a reference standard as defined in Section 1.7.2.6.c. The laboratory
515 shall obtain the standard from a source or a lot independent of the reference standard used in
516 the initial calibration, if available. The calibration verification may take two forms:
517
518 i) performing a second set calibration measurements to be compared to the initial calibration;
519 ii) quantifying a set of prepared standards using the initial calibration.
520
521 b) The laboratory shall specify the maximum permissible uncertainty for calibration verification
522 measurements (e.g., the minimum number of counts collected for each measurement) in their
523 SOPs.
524
525 c) The laboratory shall specify calibration verification acceptance criteria in their SOPs (e.g., the
526 relative combined uncertainty or the prepared standard recovery). If the criteria for the
527 calibration verification are not met, the laboratory shall perform corrective action.
528

529 1.7.1.4 Instrument Performance Checks
530

531 Instrument performance checks measure and track the stability of key detector response-related
532 parameters over time. The continuing validity of initial calibrations is established by demonstrating
533 the stability of the detection system from the point of initial calibration to the time of the test source
534 measurement.
535

- 536 a) The following are essential elements of instrument performance checks:
537
538 i) The check source used for instrument performance checks need not be a reference
539 standard as defined in Section 1.7.2.6.c.
540
541 ii) The laboratory shall use the same check source for ongoing performance checks as the
542 one in the preparation of the tolerance or control chart limits at the point of the initial
543 calibration.
544
545 iii) The laboratory shall prepare, handle, seal and/or encapsulate check sources to prevent
546 damage, loss of activity and contamination.
547
548 iv) The laboratory shall minimize the uncertainty of the check source count to allow detection
549 of small changes in detector response relative to the acceptance criteria. The count
550 duration and check source activity should be sufficient to provide adequate counting
551 statistics over the life of the source.
552
553 v) Where significant, the radioactive decay in the check source shall be taken into account
554 when evaluating count-rate sensitive parameters such as efficiency.
555
556 vi) The laboratory shall monitor the results of instrument performance checks using control or
557 tolerance charts to ensure that instrument performance does not change significantly
558 relative to the point of the initial calibration. If a performance check result exceeds control
559 limits, instrument performance may have changed since the initial calibration. The
560 laboratory should verify that the change is not attributable to normal statistical variability of
561 the check measurement prior to taking corrective action.
562
563 vii) The laboratory procedure shall specify what corrective actions are to be taken when
564 performance check acceptance criteria are not met.
565
566 b) The laboratory shall establish the minimum frequency for performance checks for specified
567 calibration parameters as follows:

- 562 i) Gamma-ray spectrometry systems.
563 Detection efficiency, energy calibration, and peak resolution:
564 1. Semiconductor detectors: At least twice weekly, but not on consecutive days, for a
565 continuously operating detector; day of use for a non-continuously operating detector.
566 2. Scintillation detectors (e.g., sodium iodide): Day of use.
567 ii) Alpha-particle spectrometry systems.
568 Energy calibration: Weekly.
569 Detection efficiency: Monthly.
570 iii) Gas-proportional and semiconductor alpha/beta detectors.
571 Alpha and beta efficiency: Day of use.
572 iv) Liquid scintillation detectors.
573 1. Manufacturer system calibration: At the frequency recommended by the manufacturer.
574 2. Efficiency with unquenched ^3H and ^{14}C standards: Day of use.
575 v) Solid-state scintillation detectors (e.g., zinc sulfide) used for non-spectrometric
576 measurements.
577 Efficiency: Day of use.
578
579 c) Exceptions to minimum frequencies for performance checks:
580
581 i) An individual test source may be uninterruptedly measured for a time longer than the
582 required interval between performance checks to allow completion of the count of a test
583 source as long as instrument performance checks performed at the beginning and end of
584 the measurement period meet all applicable acceptance criteria.
585 ii) Test sources may be uninterruptedly measured for a time longer than the required interval
586 between performance checks to allow for completion of a preparation or analytical batch
587 measured on an instrument with an automated sample changer (e.g., a liquid scintillation
588 or gas proportional counter), as long as the period between the checks does not exceed
589 7 days and checks are done at the beginning and end of the measurement in question and
590 meet all applicable acceptance criteria.
591
592 d) If the detection system is powered off between performance checks, a new performance check
593 shall be performed prior to the next test source measurement.
594
595 1.7.1.5 Subtraction Background Measurements
596
597 Subtraction background measurements are performed to assess and correct for contributions due
598 to cosmic radiation, naturally-occurring radioactivity, electronic noise, impurities in the detector,
599 shielding, and source mounting material, or other sources that are not affected by the analytical
600 processes. Contributions from impurities in the reagents, reference standards, or other sources
601 introduced during the analytical processes are assessed with the use of method blanks (Section
602 1.7.2.2).
603
604 Numerous counting configurations may be used to determine subtraction background, depending
605 on the detector and the method, including: Counting an empty detector; counting an empty
606 container or blank test source in a detector; or counting a container filled with a surrogate matrix
607 material free of measureable levels of radioactivity.
608
609 a) The subtraction background shall be specific to each detector and the method.
610

- 611 b) The subtraction background counting time shall be at least as long as the longest associated
612 sample counting time and shall ensure a representative determination of the background rate.
613
- 614 c) The subtraction background measurement shall be accomplished in one of the following ways:
615
- 616 i) Paired measurements in which the subtraction background measurement is counted before
617 or after the test source measurement or batch of test source measurements.
- 618 ii) Measurements performed at a fixed frequency, in which test sources may be measured
619 between successive background subtraction measurements. In this case, the laboratory
620 shall perform background subtraction measurements at the following minimum frequencies:
621
- 622 1. Gamma-ray spectrometry systems: Monthly.
 - 623 2. Alpha-particle spectrometry systems: Monthly.
 - 624 3. Gas-proportional and semiconductor alpha/beta detectors: Quarterly.
 - 625 4. Liquid scintillation detectors.
 - 626 • Individual quenched background: Once per preparation batch.
 - 627 • Quenched background curve: According to frequency specified in laboratory
628 procedures.
 - 629 5. Solid-state scintillation detectors (e.g., zinc sulfide) used for non-spectrometric
630 measurements: Day of use.
- 631
- 632 The frequency of subtraction background measurements may be increased from the above
633 requirements when there is a low tolerance for lost data due to failure of a subtraction
634 background measurement.
- 635 iii) Composite measurements, in which the subtraction background is determined by
636 combining background measurements collected in a manner that results in a representative
637 determination of the background with a combined counting time at least as long as the
638 longest associated test source count time.
639
- 640 d) The laboratory shall have written procedures for performing and evaluating subtraction
641 background measurements. These procedures shall:
642
- 643 i) indicate the frequency and length of subtraction background measurements.
 - 644 ii) establish control or tolerance charts and acceptance criteria of subtraction background
645 measurements.
 - 646 iii) ensure that the subtraction background measurement counts or count rate of a detector or
647 an analytical region of interest is monitored for significant changes that introduce bias
648 significant enough that could compromise the use of these measurements.
649
- 650 e) When the subtraction background has changed since the previous determination such that
651 significant bias is imparted to intervening test source measurements, the laboratory shall initiate
652 a corrective action. If the bias cannot be resolved, the laboratory shall qualify affected results.
653

654 1.7.1.6 Short-Term Background Checks

655

656 Short-term background checks, performed between subtraction background measurements, are
657 quality control measures used to verify the integrity of subtraction background measurements,
658 check for possible detector contamination, electronics noise, as well as monitor each detector for
659 trends and deviations from Poisson statistics. These background checks may be shorter in
660 duration, yet more frequent than the subtraction background measurements, and therefore they
661 may not always effectively identify every discrepancy that could compromise test source
662 measurements (e.g., low-level contamination).

- 663
664 a) The laboratory shall have written procedures for performing and evaluating short-term
665 background checks. These procedures shall:
666
667 i) indicate the frequency and length of checks.
668
669 Note: Short-term background checks are performed after a predetermined number of
670 samples, after a hot sample, or at predetermined frequency. The frequency for the checks
671 should be based on an evaluation of the laboratory instrument system and an acceptable
672 rate for lost data should short-term background check result fails. The frequency of these
673 checks may be decreased if the laboratory is able to document that doing so does not
674 result in an unacceptable rate of lost data. Conversely, the frequency should be increased
675 when there is a high probability of the checks failing or there is a low tolerance for lost data
676 due to failure of short-term background check.
- 677 ii) establish control or tolerance charts and acceptance criteria of short-term background
678 checks.
- 679 iii) ensure that the short-term background counts or count rate of a detector or an analytical
680 region of interest is monitored for significant changes that would indicate background bias
681 significant enough that could compromise test source results.
682
- 683 b) Exceptions to minimum frequencies for short-term background checks:
684
685 i) An individual test source may be uninterruptedly measured for a time longer than the
686 required interval between short-term background checks to allow completion of the count of
687 a test source as long as short-term background checks performed at the beginning and end
688 of the measurement period meet all applicable acceptance criteria.
- 689 ii) Test sources may be uninterruptedly measured for a time longer than the required interval
690 between short-term background checks to allow for completion of a preparation or
691 analytical batch measured on an instrument with an automated sample changer (e.g., a
692 liquid scintillation or gas proportional counter), as long as the period between the checks
693 does not exceed 7 days and the checks are done at the beginning and end of the
694 measurement period and meet all applicable acceptance criteria.
695
- 696 c) When short-term background has changed since the previous determination such that
697 significant background bias is imparted to intervening test source measurements, the laboratory
698 shall initiate a corrective action. If the bias cannot be resolved, the laboratory shall qualify
699 affected results.
700
- 701 d) If subtraction background measurements are performed with sufficient frequency for a given
702 method or detector type, such that they ensure background integrity and are capable of
703 identifying detector contamination, the subtraction background measurements may be
704 substituted for short-term background checks, in which case the short-term background checks
705 shall not be required.
706
- 707 e) For liquid scintillation detectors, the laboratory shall check short term unquenched background
708 each day of use.
709

710 1.7.1.7 Contamination Monitoring

711
712 The laboratory shall have written procedures that address cases where radiation detectors have
713 been contaminated, as determined by the subtraction background measurements, short-term
714 background checks, or method blanks (Section 1.7.2.3). Detectors may not be brought back into
715 service until corrective actions are completed.
716

717 1.7.2 Quality Control for Radiochemistry

- 718
- 719 1.7.2.1 General
- 720
- 721 a) The laboratory shall follow a documented quality control program that monitors and assesses
- 722 the performance of the laboratory's analytical systems. At a minimum, the quality control
- 723 program shall incorporate requirements imposed by regulation, methods and this standard.
- 724 Where imposed regulations are more stringent than this standard, the imposed regulations
- 725 take precedence (see Module 2, Section 5.9.3.c). If it is not apparent which standard is more
- 726 stringent, the laboratory shall follow the requirements of the regulation or the mandated
- 727 method. Where there are no established requirements, the laboratory shall incorporate
- 728 guidelines established in MARLAP or other consensus standard organizations into its quality
- 729 management system.
- 730
- 731 b) The laboratory shall process batch and sample-specific quality controls to provide empirical
- 732 evidence that demonstrates that the analytical system is in control. Results for these controls
- 733 may be used to assess the data quality of sample results produced by the analytical system.
- 734
- 735 c) Where sample preparation is performed that involves physical or chemical processing which
- 736 affects the outcome of the test, the laboratory shall initiate a preparation batch.
- 737
- 738 d) Where sample testing is performed that does not involve physical or chemical processing
- 739 which affects the outcome of the test (e.g., non-destructive gamma spectrometry or alpha/beta
- 740 counting of air filters or swipes on gas proportional detectors), an analytical batch may be
- 741 initiated in lieu of the preparation batch. The analytical batch, when initiated, shall have the
- 742 following requirements:
- 743
- 744 i) Up to twenty (20) environmental samples may be combined into a single analytical batch.
- 745 All samples and QC samples in the analytical batch shall have characteristics and
- 746 analytical configurations similar to those used for calibration of the method (e.g., analytes,
- 747 geometry, calibration, and background corrections).
- 748
- 749 ii) Samples may be added to the analytical batch until twenty (20) environmental samples
- 750 have been counted or until the time period for the analytical batch is reached, whichever
- 751 occurs first. The maximum time for processing an analytical batch (analytical batch period)
- 752 shall not extend beyond fourteen (14) days from the start of the first sample count.
- 753
- 754 e) The laboratory's quality control program shall document the minimum required frequency for
- 755 quality controls.
- 756
- 757 f) The laboratory shall process all batch quality control samples together with, and under the
- 758 same conditions as, the associated samples, and shall use the same processes and
- 759 procedures for preparation, analysis, data reduction and reporting of results.
- 760
- 761 g) The laboratory shall not systematically or preferentially use specific detectors, equipment or
- 762 glassware for the analysis of quality control samples. This should not preclude laboratories
- 763 from segregating detectors, equipment, or glassware to minimize the risk of cross-
- 764 contamination of samples or equipment as long as the criteria for segregation applies equally
- 765 to batch quality control samples and samples.
- 766
- 767 h) The laboratory shall assess the results of the quality controls against acceptance criteria
- 768 documented in the quality control program. Where there are no established criteria in
- 769 regulations, the method, or contract, the laboratory shall develop its acceptance criteria based
- 770 on guidelines established in MARLAP, other consensus standards or other criteria such as
- 771 statistical control charts developed by the laboratory.

- 772 i) The laboratory shall track and trend the results of batch quality control samples using statistical
773 or tolerance control charts.
774
- 775 j) The laboratory's quality control program shall document acceptance criteria for batch quality
776 control samples, sample-specific quality controls, and for the evaluation of long-term trends;
777 and the methods used to establish these criteria.
778
- 779 k) The laboratory shall investigate the cause when results do not meet acceptance criteria and
780 take corrective actions to eliminate the source or minimize the magnitude of the problem. The
781 laboratory shall consider samples associated with a failed quality control parameter as suspect
782 and shall, wherever possible, reprocess such samples. Where reprocessing is not possible, the
783 laboratory shall report results with appropriate data qualifiers. The laboratory shall note the
784 occurrence of a failed quality control sample and any associated actions in the laboratory
785 report.
786

787 1.7.2.2 Negative Control – Method Performance: Method Blank

788

789 The method blank assesses the process of handling, preparation and analysis for cross-
790 contamination and for low-level analytical bias. For methods with minimal physical treatment or no
791 chemical processing (e.g., drying, grinding and homogenization of solid samples, or preparation of
792 sample test sources for swipe or air filter samples for non-destructive gamma spectrometry or
793 alpha-beta counting), the method blank assesses sample handling and the analytical process.
794

- 795 a) The laboratory shall analyze a method blank at a minimum of one (1) per preparation or
796 analytical batch.
797
- 798 b) The method blank sample test source shall simulate quality system matrix characteristics that
799 significantly affect results, such as geometry, size, and other factors as appropriate.
800
- 801 i) The laboratory shall prepare the method blank using material of the same quality
802 system matrix as samples in the batch. The material used for the method blank shall be free
803 of analytes of interest at levels that will interfere with the evaluation of the results. If an
804 analyte-free matrix is not available, the laboratory shall use a surrogate matrix to simulate
805 the quality system matrix.
- 806 ii) The size of the aliquot used for calculation of the method blank result shall be similar to that
807 of routine samples for analyses. If the size of samples in a preparation batch varies (e.g.,
808 due to differences in sample density or restrictions on the activity or mass residue that may
809 be processed), the laboratory shall use acceptance criteria that compensate for differing
810 aliquot sizes (e.g., z-score per MARLAP, 18.4.1).
811
- 812 c) The laboratory shall have procedures in place to determine if a method blank result is
813 significantly different from zero or impacts the analytical results. For example:
814
- 815 i) The method blank exceeds the pre-established upper or lower bounds for the
816 measurement, where the upper and lower bounds are plus x times the CSU and negative y
817 times the CSU and x and y are the coverage factors for the established confidence interval
818 as established by the laboratory's quality assurance program. The upper and lower bounds
819 are not necessarily symmetrical.
- 820 ii) When applicable, the sample-specific MDA for the method blank is greater than the
821 required MDA.
822
- 823 d) Corrective actions shall be taken if the sample results are less than five (5) times the method
824 blank activity and it is determined that a method blank result is significantly different from zero
825 or impacts the analytical results.

- 826
827 e) The laboratory shall evaluate results of method blanks for long term trends, absolute bias,
828 possible contamination or interferences that may affect sample results.
829
- 830 f) The laboratory shall not subtract the batch method blank from sample results in the associated
831 preparation or analytical batch. The laboratory may subtract the average historical activity of
832 method blank measurements to address a demonstrated bias. The laboratory shall account for
833 the uncertainty of the subtracted value in its estimate of uncertainty for the final result.
834
- 835 1.7.2.3 Positive Control – Method Performance: Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)
836
- 837 The LCS is used to evaluate the performance of the analytical system, including all preparation and
838 analysis steps. For methods with minimal physical treatment and no chemical processing (e.g.,
839 drying, grinding and homogenization of solid samples, or preparation of sample test sources for
840 swipe or air filter samples for non-destructive gamma spectrometry or alpha-beta counting), the
841 LCS assesses the analytical process for bias.
842
- 843 a) The laboratory shall analyze a LCS at a minimum of one (1) per preparation or analytical batch.
844 For analytical batches, a calibration verification standard may be analyzed in lieu of the LCS.
845
- 846 b) The LCS test source shall simulate quality system matrix characteristics that significantly affect
847 results, such as geometry, size or other factors.
848
- 849 i) The laboratory shall prepare the LCS using material of the same quality system
850 matrix as samples in the batch.
- 851 ii) The material used to create the LCS should be free of analytes of interest at levels
852 that will interfere with the evaluation of the results. If an analyte-free surrogate matrix is not
853 available, the laboratory may use a surrogate matrix to simulate the sample matrix. If
854 analyte free materials are not available for the LCS, the materials must be characterized
855 and documented for the analyte(s) of concern and accounted for in the evaluation of the
856 LCS.
- 857 iii) The size of the aliquot used for calculation of the LCS result shall be similar to that of
858 routine samples for analyses. If the size of samples in a preparation batch varies (e.g., due
859 to restrictions on the activity or mass residue that may be processed), the laboratory shall
860 use acceptance criteria for samples that compensate for differing aliquot sizes (e.g., z-
861 score per MARLAP, 18.4.1).
862
- 863 c) For methods with minimal physical treatment and no chemical processing, the laboratory may
864 prepare the LCS a single time and reuse the standard with subsequent batches of samples.
865 The laboratory may use a calibration source for the LCS if the source is independent of the
866 source used for calibration of the measurement system (see 1.7.2.2.e) below).
867
- 868 d) The laboratory shall spike the LCS at a level such that the uncertainty of the analytical result is
869 less than one-third of the acceptance criteria. For example if it is required that the LCS result be
870 within +/- 30% of the known value, the laboratory shall spike the LCS at a level such that the
871 uncertainty of the analytical result is less than or equal to 10%. When practical, the LCS should
872 be spiked at a level comparable to the action level if known; or that of routine samples if the
873 activities are expected to exceed ten (10) times the Decision Level (Critical Value).
874
- 875 e) When available, the standard used to prepare the LCS shall be from a source independent of
876 the laboratory standard used for instrument calibration and shall meet the requirements for
877 reference standards provided in Section *(insert cross-reference to reference standards,*
878 *1.7.5.2.c)*. If an independent source is not available, a second source shall be procured and

- 879 prepared independently of the calibration source. The final prepared LCS need not be traceable
880 to a national standard organization.
881
- 882 f) The LCS shall include all of the radionuclide(s) being determined with the following exceptions:
883
- 884 i) For methods that measure gross activity (e.g., gross alpha, gross beta), an
885 appropriate surrogate analyte shall be used. This will generally be the radionuclide(s) used
886 to calibrate the detector.
- 887 ii) For alpha spectrometry measurements, when multiple individual radionuclides with
888 similar chemical characteristics are determined simultaneously with a single measurement
889 and calibration only one of the analytes/isotopes needs to be included in the LCS at the
890 indicated activity level (see 1.7.2.2.d above).
- 891 iii) Where a non-destructive gamma-ray spectrometry measurement is made using a multi-
892 point energy/efficiency calibration curve which covers the energy range of the analyte(s) of
893 interest:
- 894 • a radionuclide with similar gamma energies as those of the analyte(s) of interest may
895 be used (e.g., ¹³³Ba may be used in place of ¹³¹I), or
 - 896 • the LCS shall contain gamma-emitting radionuclides that at a minimum represent the
897 low (e.g., ²⁴¹Am) and high (e.g., ⁶⁰Co) energy range of the analyzed gamma-ray
898 spectra. Commonly a medium energy radionuclide is also included in the LCS (e.g.,
899 ¹³⁷Cs). As indicated by these examples, the nuclides need not exactly bracket the
900 calibration energy range or the range over which radionuclides are identified and
901 quantified.
902
- 903 g) The laboratory shall evaluate results of the batch LCS using a statistical technique such as the
904 percent recovery or Z-score that allows comparison to established acceptance criteria
905 documented in the laboratory quality control program.
906
- 907 h) Where more than one analyte is spiked at a level that meets the LCS requirements
908 (see 1.7.2.3.d above), each shall be assessed against the specified acceptance criteria.
909

910 1.7.2.4 Sample-Specific QC Measures

911 The laboratory shall document procedures for determining the effect of the sample matrix on the
912 analytical results. These procedures relate to the analyses of specific quality control (QC) samples
913 and are designed as data quality indicators for a specific sample using the designated method.
914 Examples of sample-specific QC include: Matrix Spike (MS); Matrix Spike Duplicate (MSD), Matrix
915 Duplicate (MD), Tracers and Carriers. The laboratory shall have procedures in place for tracking,
916 managing, and handling sample-specific QC criteria including spiking components at appropriate
917 activities, calculating recoveries, determining variability (e.g., relative percent difference and/or Z-
918 score), and evaluating and reporting results based on the performance of the QC samples.
919

920 a) Matrix Spike

- 921
- 922
- 923 i) Matrix spike recoveries are an indication of effects of the matrix on sample result accuracy
924 using the selected method. The MS results are employed by the data user to determine if
925 an MS issue has any impact on their related batch samples. Matrix spikes are not typically
926 employed for non-destructive methods (e.g., gamma spectrometry or direct counting of
927 samples for alpha or beta radioactivity), or for methods that employ a chemical yield tracer
928 or carrier for each sample.
- 929 ii) The frequency of the analysis of matrix spikes is specified by the method, a regulation or
930 determined as part of the contract review process.

- 931
932
933
- 934
935
936
937
938
- 939
940
- 941
- 942
943
944
945
- 946
947
948
949
950
951
- 952
953
954
955
- 956
957
- 958
959
960
961
962
- 963
964
965
966
967
- 968
969
970
971
- 972
973
974
- 975
976
977
978
979
980
- iii) The components spiked shall be as specified by the mandated method, regulation or as determined as part of the contract review process. At minimum, they will be consistent with those specified for the LCS in Sections 1.7.2.3.e and 1.7.2.3.f.
 - iv) The size and aliquot used for a matrix spike shall be similar to that of routine samples analyzed in the preparation batch. If the sample size in the preparation batch varies (e.g., due to restriction on the activity or mass residue that may be processed), the laboratory shall apply appropriate corrections to compensate for differing aliquot sizes when applying the acceptance criteria for the batch.
 - v) The lack of sufficient sample aliquot to perform a matrix spike shall be noted in the laboratory report.
 - vi) The activity of the matrix spike analyte(s) shall be greater than five (5) times the MDA.
 - vii) Acceptance criteria for matrix spike recoveries shall be as documented in the method, regulation or in contract. Where there are no established criteria in the method, a regulation or contract, the laboratory shall develop its criteria for matrix spike recoveries based on industry practices and guidelines such as MARLAP.
 - viii) When available, the standard used to prepare the matrix spike shall be from a source independent of the laboratory standard used for instrument calibration and shall meet the requirements for reference standard provided in Section 1.7.5.2.c (?). If an independent standard is not available, a second source shall be procured and prepared independently of the calibration source. The final prepared matrix spike need not be traceable to a national standards organization.
 - ix) The matrix spike shall be prepared by adding a known activity of target analyte prior to performing any processes that affect the analyte of interest (e.g., chemical digestion, dissolution, ashing, separation, etc.).
- b) Matrix Duplicates / Matrix Spike Duplicates / LCS Duplicates
- i) A duplicate is defined as a second aliquot of the same sample taken through the entire analytical procedure. The results of this analysis provide indications of the measurement precision of the analyte for the specific sample using the selected method. Duplicate analyses provide a measure of precision when the target analyte is present in the sample chosen for duplication.
 - ii) Matrix duplicate criteria are as specified by the method, regulation or determined as part of the contract review process. Where there are no established criteria in the method, a regulation or contract, the laboratory shall develop its criteria for duplicate acceptance based on guidelines established in the MARLAP or other criteria such control charting developed by the laboratory. This shall be documented in the method SOPs.
 - iii) At a minimum, the laboratory shall analyze one MD per preparation or analytical batch. For analytical batches, the MD shall consist of a second measurement of one sample. If the batch is counted on more than one detector, the MD shall be performed on a **second detector**.
 - iv) When samples have low-levels of activity (less than approximately three times the MDA) the laboratory, at its discretion, may analyze matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate to determine reproducibility within a preparation batch in place of a MD.
 - v) Based on specific project or program requirements or when there is insufficient sample available, the laboratory may choose to analyze a LCS in duplicate in place of a MD. The LCS and its duplicate will provide a measure of analytical precision. However, they will not provide information on matrix effects.

- 981 c) Chemical Yield Tracers and Carriers
982
983 i) For those methods that employ a radioactive tracer or a stable carrier as a chemical yield
984 monitor in the analysis, each sample shall have an associated chemical yield calculated
985 and reported. The chemical yield is one of the quality control measures to be used to
986 assess the associated sample result acceptance.
- 987 ii) The selection of a tracer or carrier shall not significantly interfere with the analyte(s) of
988 interest nor cause bias in its measurements. When such a tracer or carrier is unavailable,
989 the interference or bias caused shall be quantifiable and appropriate correction applied to
990 the sample results.
- 991 iii) The chemical yield (tracer or carrier) shall be added to the sample prior to performing any
992 processes that affect the analyte of interest (e.g., chemical digestion, dissolution, ashing,
993 separation, etc.) unless otherwise specified by the method.
- 994 iv) The chemical yield shall be assessed against specific acceptance criteria specified in the
995 method, regulation, contract or laboratory SOP. The laboratory shall develop its criteria for
996 data acceptance based on guidelines established in the MARLAP or other criteria such
997 control charting developed by the laboratory. This assessment shall meet established
998 project or program measurement quality objectives (MQO).
- 999 v) When the specified chemical yield acceptance criteria are not met, the specified corrective
1000 action and contingencies shall be followed. The occurrence of a failed chemical yield and
1001 the actions taken shall be noted in the laboratory report.
- 1002
- 1003 1.7.2.5 Data Reduction
- 1004 a) The procedures for data reduction shall be documented.
- 1005 b) Detection levels (MDA or Critical Level, as appropriate) shall be calculated as described in
1006 Section 1.5.2.
- 1007 c) Measurement uncertainties shall be calculated and reported as described in Section 1.5.4.
- 1008
- 1009
- 1010
- 1011
- 1012 1.7.2.6 Reagent Quality, Water Quality, and Checks
- 1013
- 1014 a) In methods where the purity of reagents is not specified, reagents shall be analytical reagent
1015 grade or better. Reagents of lesser purity than those specified by the method shall not be used.
1016 The labels on the container should be checked to verify that the purity of the reagents meets
1017 the requirements of the particular method. Such information shall be available.
- 1018
- 1019 b) The quality of water sources shall be monitored and documented and shall meet method
1020 specified requirements.
- 1021
- 1022 c) The quality control program shall establish and maintain provisions for radionuclide standards.
- 1023
- 1024 i) Reference standards that are used in a radiochemical laboratory shall be obtained from
1025 NIST or from suppliers of NIST standards or NIST traceable radionuclides. Alternatively,
1026 reference standards may be obtained from suppliers outside the United States, provided
1027 that the standards are traceable back to each country's national standards laboratory.
- 1028 ii) Reference standards shall be accompanied with a certificate of calibration that includes at
1029 least the following information: manufacturer, radionuclides calibrated, identification
1030 number, calibration method, activities or emission rates with associated uncertainties and
1031 the confidence limits, calibration or reference date and time (if appropriate for the half-life of
1032 the radionuclide), physical and/or chemical description of the source, and radionuclide
1033 impurities (reference ANSI N42.22 - 1995, Section 8, Certificates).

- 1034 iii) Standards shall be verified prior to initial use. Laboratories should consult with the supplier
1035 if the lab's verification of the activity of the reference traceable standard indicates a
1036 noticeable deviation from the certified value. The laboratory shall use only the decay-
1037 corrected certified value. The laboratory shall have a written procedure for handling,
1038 storing, and establishing expiration dates for reference standards.
- 1039 iv) If there is no known provider of a particular standard (e.g., non-routine radionuclide or non-
1040 standard matrix) that is traceable to the International System of Units (SI), the laboratory
1041 may have no alternative but to use a standard with less rigorously established traceability.
1042 In this event, the laboratory shall obtain from the provider the minimum information
1043 described in Section 1.7.2.6.c.ii, and will undertake to independently verify and document
1044 that information. If the laboratory's verification indicates a significant deviation from the
1045 original information from the provider, the standard should not be used. If the standard is
1046 used for analysis of sample unknowns, the source and any other known limitations of the
1047 standard shall be disclosed in the final report.
1048
- 1049 1.7.2.7 Constant and Consistent Test Conditions
- 1050
- 1051 a) The laboratory shall assure that the test instruments consistently operate within the
1052 specifications required of the application for which the equipment is used.
1053
- 1054 b) Labware Cleaning. Labware shall be cleaned to meet the sensitivity requirements of the
1055 method. Any cleaning and storage procedures that are not specified by the method shall be
1056 documented in the laboratory's quality management system and records. Note that some
1057 applications may require single-use glassware.
1058
- 1059 c) Radiological Control Program. The laboratory shall maintain a radiological control program that
1060 addresses analytical radiological control. The program shall address the procedures for
1061 segregating samples with potentially widely varying levels of radioactivity. The radiological
1062 control program shall explicitly define how low-level and high-level samples will be identified,
1063 segregated and processed in order to prevent sample cross-contamination. The radiological
1064 control program shall include the measures taken to monitor and evaluate background activity
1065 or contamination on an ongoing basis.
1066
- 1067 1.7.3 Data Evaluation and Reporting
- 1068
- 1069 1.7.3.1 Negative Control – Method Performance: Method Blank
- 1070
- 1071 a) Method blank results shall be evaluated for long term trends, absolute bias, possible
1072 contamination or interferences that may affect results for samples in the batch.
1073
- 1074 b) Method blank acceptance criteria are discussed in Section 1.7.2.1 above. If acceptance limits
1075 are not met, corrective actions shall be taken to investigate the source of contamination or
1076 other bias. If sample activity levels are greater than five times the activity found in the method
1077 blank, lacking other requirements, it is acceptable to report qualified results for the samples
1078 associated with the blank. Otherwise, reprocessing and reanalysis of the associated samples
1079 shall be required.
1080
- 1081 c) When sample results associated with a failed method blank are reported, the failure and
1082 associated corrective actions, or inability to complete corrective actions, shall be noted in the
1083 laboratory report.
1084
- 1085
- 1086
- 1087
- 1088 1.7.3.2 Positive Control – Method Performance: Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

- 1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
- a) LCS recoveries are evaluated to assess the performance of the entire analytical system independent of the sample matrix. LCS results are calculated in percent recovery (%R) or other appropriate statistical measure that allows comparison to established acceptance criteria. The laboratory shall document the calculation.
- b) LCS acceptance criteria are discussed in Section 1.7.2.2 above. An LCS that is determined to be within established acceptance limits effectively demonstrates that the analytical system is in control and validates system performance for the samples in the associated batch. Samples associated with an LCS that fails to meet acceptance limits are considered suspect and the samples shall be reprocessed and reanalyzed. If samples cannot be reprocessed and reanalyzed, the failure and associated corrective actions or inability to complete corrective actions shall be noted in the laboratory report.
- 1103 1.7.3.3 Sample-Specific Controls
- 1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
- a) Matrix Spike, Matrix Duplicates, and Matrix Spike Duplicates
- i) Matrix spikes and matrix duplicates allow evaluation of the effect of matrix on the accuracy and precision of results. Results from matrix spikes are calculated as percent recovery (%R), matrix replicates and matrix spike duplicate precision are calculated as relative percent difference (RPD), Z_{Rep} (see MARLAP, Section 18.4.2), or other appropriate statistical measure that allows comparison to established acceptance criteria. The laboratory shall document the calculation of QC results.
- ii) Acceptance criteria are discussed in Section 1.7.2.4 above. For results outside established criteria, corrective action shall be documented or the data reported with appropriate data qualifying codes. QC results outside acceptance limits shall be noted in the laboratory report.
- 1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
- b) Tracers and Carriers
- 1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
- i) For those methods that employ radioactive tracers or stable carriers as chemical yield monitors in each sample results are expressed as percent yield or other appropriate statistical measure that allows comparison to established acceptance criteria.
- ii) For alpha spectrometry, evaluation of tracer acceptability shall include evaluation of chemical yield uncertainty and peak resolution.
- 1123
1124
- iii) Acceptance criteria are discussed in Section 1.7.2.4 above. Samples associated with tracers or carriers that fail to meet acceptance limits are considered suspect, and the samples shall be reprocessed and/or reanalyzed. If samples cannot be reprocessed and/or reanalyzed, the failure and associated corrective actions or inability to complete corrective actions shall be noted in the laboratory report.
- 1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
- 1131 1.7.3.4 Evaluation of Sample Results
- 1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
- a) Instrument raw data from energy spectral analysis shall be evaluated to ensure that the target radionuclides are correctly identified consistent with laboratory procedures, and that the spectra are free of target radionuclide interferences.
- b) Results shall be reviewed for internal consistency, such as the presence of radionuclides consistent with known parent-progeny relationships and expected or likely decay series.
- c) Sample-specific estimates of uncertainty and minimum detectable activity (MDA) shall be evaluated to ensure that MQOs have been met.

- 1143 d) If these objectives have not been met, then samples shall be reprocessed and/or reanalyzed. If
1144 samples cannot be reprocessed and/or reanalyzed, the failure and associated corrective
1145 actions, or inability to complete corrective actions, shall be noted in the laboratory report.
- 1146 1.7.3.5 Reporting Results
- 1147
- 1148 a) Reports delivered to the laboratory's client shall be consistent with the requirements of this
1149 Standard (Volume 1, Module 2, Section 5.10).
- 1150
- 1151 b) Results shall be reported directly as obtained, with appropriate units, even if the results are
1152 negative.
- 1153
- 1154 c) Results shall be expressed with an appropriate number of significant figures.
- 1155
- 1156 d) All radiochemical results shall be reported with an estimate of uncertainty, as discussed in
1157 Section 1.6.5 above.
- 1158
- 1159 e) Laboratories shall report the activity reference date in association with all radiochemical
1160 measurement results.
- 1161
- 1162 f) Project or client specified reporting requirements can take precedence over the requirements of
1163 this Standard.
- 1164
- 1165 1.7.4 Sample Handling
- 1166
- 1167 1.7.4.1 While it may not be possible to physically verify all methods of preservation (e.g., addition of
1168 oxidizing or reducing agents), wherever practicable, the laboratory shall verify that samples have
1169 been preserved in compliance with all applicable requirements specified by regulation, method, or
1170 contract, or as established in the laboratory's quality management plan (if there are no established
1171 mandatory criteria).
- 1172
- 1173 1.7.4.2 The laboratory shall document the required timing, methods for performing measurements,
1174 the acceptance range, or any other conditions indicating acceptable preservation.
- 1175
- 1176 a) Where thermal preservation of samples is required, the laboratory shall verify the temperature
of samples upon receipt.
- 1177
- 1178 b) Where chemical preservation of samples is required, the laboratory shall verify that samples
1179 have been preserved using readily available techniques such as pH measurement prior to
sample preparation or analysis.
- 1180
- 1181 1.7.4.3 If the results of the verification do not satisfy established criteria, the laboratory shall initiate
1182 corrective actions (i.e., notification of the client, preservation of the sample at the time of discovery),
1183 and qualify all impacted test results in the report to the client.
- 1184